

Paul Carlos Southwick (OSB 095141)
Religious Exemption Accountability Project
Paul Southwick Law, LLC
8532 N. Ivanhoe St. #208,
Portland, OR 97203
Email: paul@paulsouthwick.com
Phone: 503-806-9517

Rachel Erica Livingston (NYS Bar 4347084)
(pro hac vice pending)
Paul Southwick Law, LLC
8532 N. Ivanhoe St. #208,
Portland, OR 97203
Email: rachel@paulsouthwick.com
Phone: 503-806-9517

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
EUGENE DIVISION**

Elizabeth HUNTER; Veronica Bonifacio)
PENALES; Alex DURON; Zayn SILVA;)
Rachel MOULTON; Victoria Joy BACON;)
Avery BONESTROO; Nathan BRITTSAN;)
Hayden BROWN; Brooke C.; Gary)
CAMPBELL; Tristan CAMPBELL; Natalie)
CARTER; Rachel HELD; Lauren)
HOEKSTRA; Chandler HORNING; Louis)
JAMES; Jonathan JONES; Ashtin)
MARKOWSKI; Cameron MARTINEZ; Joanna)
MAXON; Mackenzie MCCANN; Darren)
MCDONALD; Scott MCSWAIN; Faith)
MILLENDER; Jaycen MONTGOMERY;)
Journey MUELLER; Jake PICKER; Danielle)
POWELL; Megan STEFFEN; Spencer J.)
VIGIL; Lucas WILSON; and Audrey)
WOJNAROWISCH, on behalf of themselves)
and all others similarly situated,)

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; and)
Suzanne GOLDBERG, in her official capacity as)
Acting Assistant Secretary for the Office of)
Civil Rights, U.S. Department of Education.)
Defendants.)

Case No. 6:21-cv-474

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

**DECLARATORY AND
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiffs, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby allege as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. Thirty-three Plaintiffs bring this class action to put an end to the U.S. Department of Education's complicity in the abuses and unsafe conditions thousands of LGBTQ+ students endure at hundreds of taxpayer-funded, religious colleges and universities. The Plaintiffs seek safety and justice for themselves and for the countless sexual and gender minority students whose oppression, fueled by government funding, and unrestrained by government intervention, persists with injurious consequences to mind, body and soul.

2. The Department's inaction leaves students unprotected from the harms of conversion therapy, expulsion, denial of housing and healthcare, sexual and physical abuse and harassment, as well as the less visible, but no less damaging, consequences of institutionalized shame, fear, anxiety and loneliness.

3. The U.S. Department of Education is duty-bound by Title IX and the U.S. Constitution to protect sexual and gender minority students at taxpayer-funded colleges and universities, including private and religious educational institutions that receive federal funding. The religious exemption to Title IX, however, seemingly permits the Department to breach its duty as to the more than 100,000 sexual and gender minority students attending religious colleges and universities where discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity is codified in campus policies and openly practiced.

4. When taxpayer-funded religious institutions require sexual and gender minority students to hide their identity out of fear, or to behave contrary to their fundamental sexual or gender identity, the unsurprising consequences are intense pain, loneliness and self-harm. Students perceive that their campus, and even their government, believes that they are inferior in dignity

and worth. The status quo, where the Department leaves such students on their own in this perilous limbo, results in concrete, verifiable and widespread harms. Each Plaintiff has their own story of oppression to tell, and each Plaintiff represents thousands more whose stories deserve to be heard.

5. Religious exemptions may be constitutionally permissible, or even compelled, when the government regulates private action, even where some amount of harm to members of the community is involved. However, when the government provides public funds to private actors, like the colleges and universities represented by Plaintiffs, the Constitution restrains the government from allowing such private actors to use those funds to harm disadvantaged people. This Constitutional principle remains true even when the private actors are operating according to sincerely held religious beliefs, and it remains true whether the people they are harming are racial or ethnic minorities, sexual or gender minorities or those who reflect multiple, intersecting identities.

6. Consequently, while the statutory religious exemption to Title IX may permit, or even require, the Department to refuse assistance to sexual and gender minority students like the Plaintiffs, the Constitution forbids such inaction. Plaintiffs ask this Court to declare that the religious exemption to Title IX, as applied to sexual and gender minority students, is unconstitutional and that the Department must enforce the protections of Title IX at all taxpayer-funded educational institutions, including at those institutions that discriminate and cause harm on the basis of sincerely held religious beliefs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 702, as it challenges the action of a federal agency, and 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as this action asserts constitutional claims under the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.

8. This Court has jurisdiction to issue declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

9. Venue is proper in the District of Oregon under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e)(1) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in this judicial district. The Department of Education permits at least five universities in this district, including George Fox University, where one Plaintiff is a current student and another Plaintiff is a former student, to openly discriminate against sexual and gender minority students, and has affirmatively granted religious exemptions from Title IX as applied to sexual and gender minority students to multiple universities in this district.

10. Divisional venue is appropriate because the Department of Education permits at least two universities in this division to openly discriminate against sexual and gender minority students, and has affirmatively granted at least one university in this division, Corban University, a religious exemption from Title IX as applied to sexual and gender minority students. Consequently, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in this division.

PARTIES

11. Plaintiff Elizabeth Hunter is a resident of Greenville, South Carolina. She graduated from Bob Jones University (Greenville, South Carolina). Plaintiff Hunter describes her experience at Bob Jones University in her Declaration attached as Exhibit A.

12. Plaintiff Veronica Bonifacio Penales is a resident of Waco, Texas. She is a student at Baylor University (Waco, Texas). Plaintiff Penales describes her experience at Baylor in her Declaration attached as Exhibit B.

13. Plaintiff Alex Duron is a resident of Fresno, California. His admission to a graduate nursing program was rescinded by Union University (Jackson, Tennessee). Plaintiff Duron describes his experience with Union in his Declaration attached as Exhibit C.

14. Zayn Silva is a resident of New York City, New York. He was denied admission to Nyack College (Manhattan, NYC). Plaintiff Silva describes his experience with Nyack in his declaration attached as Exhibit D.

15. Rachel Moulton is a resident of Riverside, California. She attended Brigham Young University-Idaho (Rexburg, Idaho). Plaintiff Moulton describes her experience at BYUI in her Declaration attached as Exhibit E.

16. Victoria Joy Bacon is a resident of Rowlett, Texas. Fae graduated from Lipscomb University (Nashville, Tennessee). Plaintiff Bacon describes faer experience at Lipscomb in faer Declaration attached as Exhibit F.

17. Nathan Brittsan is a resident of San Jose, California. He was expelled by Fuller Theological Seminary (Pasadena, California). Plaintiff Brittsan describes his experience at Fuller in the Declaration attached as Exhibit G.

18. Hayden Brown is a resident of York, Nebraska. They are a student at York College (York, Nebraska). Plaintiff Brown describes their experience at York College in the Declaration attached as Exhibit H.

19. Brooke C. is a resident of Cedarville, Ohio. They are a student at Cedarville University (Cedarville, Ohio). Brook is using a pseudonym because she fears for her safety and status at Cedarville University if her true identity was known. Plaintiff C. describes her experience at Cedarville in the Declaration attached as Exhibit I.

20. Gary Campbell is a resident of Apollo Beach, Florida. He was expelled by Clarks Summit University (Scranton, Pennsylvania). Plaintiff Gary Campbell describes his experience at Clarks Summit University in the Declaration attached as Exhibit J.

21. Tristan Campbell is a resident of Belmont, Massachusetts. He attended Oklahoma Christian School (Edmond, Oklahoma) and Oklahoma Baptist University (Shawnee, Oklahoma). Plaintiff Tristan Campbell describes his experience at Oklahoma Baptist University in the Declaration attached as Exhibit K.

22. Natalie Carter is a resident of College Park, Georgia. She is currently a student at Toccoa Falls College (Toccoa, Georgia). Natalie is using a pseudonym because she fears for her safety and status at Toccoa Falls College if her true identity was known. Plaintiff Carter describes her experience at Toccoa Falls in the Declaration attached as Exhibit L.

23. Rachel Held is a resident of Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania. She is currently a student at Messiah University (Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania). Plaintiff Held describes her experience at Messiah in the Declaration attached as Exhibit M.

24. Lauren Hoekstra is a resident of Sioux Center, Iowa. They are currently a student at Dordt University (Sioux Center, Iowa). Plaintiff Hoekstra describes her experience at Dordt in the Declaration attached as Exhibit N.

25. Chandler Horning is a resident of Meridian, Idaho. He graduated from Brigham Young University-Idaho (Rexburg, Idaho). Plaintiff Horning describes his experience at BYU in the Declaration attached as Exhibit O.

26. Louis James is a resident of Marion, Indiana. He is a current student at Indiana Wesleyan University (Marion, Indiana). Louis James is using a pseudonym because he fears for his safety and status at Indiana Wesleyan University if his true identity were known. Plaintiff James describes his experience at Indiana Wesleyan in the Declaration attached as Exhibit P.

27. Jonathan Jones is a resident of Azusa, California. He is a current student at Azusa Pacific University (Azusa, California). Plaintiff Jones describes their experience at Azusa Pacific in the Declaration attached as Exhibit Q.

28. Ashtin Markowski is a resident of Springville, Utah. She graduated from Brigham Young University-Provo (Provo, Utah). Plaintiff Markowski describes her experience at BYU-Provo in the Declaration attached as Exhibit R.

29. Cameron Martinez is a resident of Riverside, California. They are a current student at La Sierra University (Riverside, California). Plaintiff Martinez describes their experience at La Sierra in the Declaration attached as Exhibit S.

30. Joanna Maxon is a resident of Hurst, Texas. She was expelled by Fuller Theological Seminary (Pasadena, California). Plaintiff Maxon describes her experience at Fuller in the Declaration attached as Exhibit T.

31. Mackenzie McCann is a resident of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania. She attended Liberty University (Lynchburg, Virginia). Plaintiff McCann describes their experience at Liberty in the Declaration attached as Exhibit U.

32. Darren McDonald is a resident of Portland, Oregon. He is a graduate of Westmont College (Santa Barbara, California) and Fuller Theological Seminary (Pasadena, California). Plaintiff McDonald describes his experiences at Westmont and Fuller in the Declaration attached as Exhibit V.

33. Scott McSwain is a resident of Tacoma, Washington. He is a graduate of Union University (Jackson, Tennessee). Plaintiff McSwain describes his experience at Union in the Declaration attached as Exhibit W.

34. Faith Millender is a resident of St. Davids, Pennsylvania. She is a current student at Eastern University (St. Davids, Pennsylvania). Plaintiff Millender describes her experience at Eastern in the Declaration described as Exhibit X.

35. Jaycen Montgomery is a resident of Portland, Oregon. He previously attended George Fox University (Newberg, Oregon). Plaintiff Montgomery describes his experience at George Fox in the Declaration attached as Exhibit Y.

36. Journey Mueller is a resident of Longmont, Colorado. She attended Colorado Christian University (Lakewood, Colorado). Plaintiff Mueller describes her experience at Colorado Christian in the Declaration attached as Exhibit Z.

37. Jake Picker is a resident of Waco, Texas. He is a current student at Baylor University (Waco, Texas). Plaintiff Picker describes his experience at Baylor in the Declaration attached as Exhibit AA.

38. Danielle Powell is a resident of New Orleans, Louisiana. They were expelled by Grace University (Omaha, Nebraska). Plaintiff Powell describes their experience at Grace in the Declaration attached as Exhibit BB.

39. Megan Steffen is a resident of Chicago, Illinois. She graduated from Moody Bible Institute (Chicago, Illinois). Plaintiff Steffen describes her experience at Moody Bible Institute in the Declaration attached as Exhibit CC.

40. Spencer Vigil is a resident of Seattle, Washington. He graduated from Seattle Pacific University (Seattle, Washington). Plaintiff Vigil describes his experience at Seattle Pacific in the Declaration attached as Exhibit DD.

41. Lucas Wilson is a resident of Toronto, Canada. He graduated from Liberty University (Lynchburg, Virginia). Plaintiff Wilson describes his experience at Liberty in the Declaration attached as Exhibit EE.

42. Audrey Wojnarowisch is a resident of Newberg, Oregon. She is a current student at George Fox University (Newberg, Oregon). Plaintiff Wojnarowisch describes her experience at George Fox in the Declaration attached as Exhibit FF.

43. Avery Bonestroo is a resident of Sioux Center, Iowa. She is a current student at Dordt University (Sioux Center, Iowa). Plaintiff Bonestroo describes her experience at Dordt in the Declaration attached as Exhibit GG.

44. The Plaintiffs are or have been federal income taxpayers.

45. Most Plaintiffs remain in debt for the money they borrowed from the U.S. Department of Education to attend their respective colleges and universities.

46. Defendant U.S. Department of Education (“the Department”) is a federal agency headquartered in Washington, D.C. The Department establishes and implements policies on federal financial aid to educational institutions, including private and religious colleges and universities, and is charged with prohibiting discrimination and ensuring equal access to education.

47. The Department’s Office of Civil Rights (OCR) enforces several federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination in programs or activities that receive federal financial assistance from the Department, including Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits sex, sexual orientation and gender identity discrimination.

48. Defendant Suzanne Goldberg is the Acting Assistant Secretary, Office of Civil Rights, at the Department. In this capacity, Goldberg oversees the Department’s Title IX enforcement.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

PLAINTIFFS AND THEIR COLLEGES OR UNIVERSITIES

Elizabeth Hunter – Bob Jones University

49. "The campus culture at BJU is toxic for LGBTQ+ people. Homophobia among the student body, faculty, and administration is rampant. LGBTQ+ students have to hide who we are and will suffer grave consequences if we come out and stand up for ourselves."

50. Elizabeth Hunter was a student at Bob Jones University ("BJU"). She graduated in 2019.

51. BJU enrolls 3,008 students.¹

52. BJU has requested and received religious exemptions from the Department that purportedly allow BJU to discriminate against LGBTQ+ students. See Exhibit HH.

53. Elizabeth was in the child welfare system until she was ten years old. She survived a sexual assault during this period of her life.

54. Elizabeth was eventually placed with a Texas family that was part of an infamous Christian fundamentalist cult.

55. Elizabeth attended Bob Jones because, as a woman, her parents believed she should not attend college. She thought that BJU was the only school her parents would allow her to go to, because of its highly conservative policies. She applied to the school without her parents' knowledge.

56. Bob Jones is notorious for these extreme policies. In 1983, the US Supreme Court required it to reverse policies that disallowed interracial dating.

¹ Data based on most recent government data available at <https://nces.ed.gov/collegenavigator/>

57. A 2014 report found that BJU promoted an environment whereby sexual assault survivors were blamed for their abuse. The report also faulted BJU for failing to report these crimes to local authorities.

58. BJU targets its LGBTQ+ population with cruel policies, including a human sexuality policy that categorizes being gay with bestiality and polygamy as prohibited behaviors.

59. "As someone figuring out their sexuality while at college, Bob Jones University's policies on sexuality and marriage created a scary, harsh environment for me," Elizabeth says.

60. Elizabeth was never out about her sexuality at BJU. However, she suffered harsh discipline from the school after BJU discovered her online activity.

61. BJU disciplined Elizabeth for posting about LGBTQ+ issues on social media, including her posts about reading a book with a lesbian main character, and about writing a book including a lesbian relationship. School administrators called upon Elizabeth to meet with them.

62. This meeting lasted for three hours.

63. Bob Jones University administrators referred to print-outs of Elizabeth's social media post history as evidence she must be gay. They then attempted to force her to admit she was homosexual.

64. Elizabeth adamantly denied that she had been sexually active with women or men. She did, however, tell the administration that she was "not straight" and was possibly asexual, like the apostle Paul.

65. Elizabeth was disciplined by BJU authorities when she refused to disavow her support for LGBTQ+ rights and relationships. School authorities removed Elizabeth from a beloved, on-campus position, forced her into mandatory counseling with the Dean of Women, and required her to pay a monetary fine.

66. "For the rest of my time at BJU, I was forced completely back in the closet and had to hold my head down in shame." Elizabeth also says, "this was the darkest month of my entire life. I felt depressed and suicidal."

67. Elizabeth says that BJU's policy towards LGBTQ+ students "creates extreme shame and confusion by lumping all sexual behavior outside of heterosexual marriage, including homosexuality, adultery, and bestiality, into the same category."

Veronica Bonifacio Penales – Baylor University

68. "The school's common response to my reporting hate on campus is that I should go to counseling. As a result, I stopped reporting incidents."

69. Veronica is a queer, nineteen-year-old woman who attends Baylor University.

70. Baylor enrolls 18,033 students.

71. Baylor has requested and received a religious exemption from the Department relating to "premarital unchastity" and other issues. Exhibit II.

72. Veronica has been harassed online and on campus by other Baylor students because of her sexuality.

73. A Bible with anti-LGBT passages highlighted in it was left at her dorm door with a note saying, "I'm praying for you."

74. An anonymous student frequently leaves post-it notes on her dorm door with the slur "FA*."

75. Veronica reported these incidents to Baylor but Baylor did not respond to her complaints, and she continued to find hateful notes posted on her door.

76. Baylor's policy on Human Sexuality states: "Baylor will be guided by the biblical understanding that human sexuality is a gift from God and that physical sexual intimacy is to be expressed in the context of marital fidelity."

77. The policy goes on to state that "temptations to deviate from this norm include both heterosexual sex outside marriage and homosexual behavior."

78. Baylor encourages its students "struggling" with same-sex attraction to attend its counseling center.

79. Baylor forbids "advocacy groups which promote understandings of sexuality that are contrary to biblical teaching."

80. Baylor's civil rights policy states, "as a religiously controlled institution of higher education, Baylor is exempt from compliance with select provisions of certain civil rights laws[.]"

81. Baylor's policies show that the school's "love your neighbor" lifestyle does not extend to sexual and gender minority students.

82. Veronica feels that "Baylor cares more about its right to discriminate against its queer and other students than it does about the health and safety of its queer and other students."

83. For the past ten years, the LGBTQ+ students at Baylor have asked Baylor to approve the charter of their LGBTQ+ student club. Baylor has denied their request every time.

84. Baylor's LGBTQ+ students gather unofficially for support through their club Gamma Alpha Epsilon, but they do so as a second-class, unapproved organization.

Alex Duron – Union University

85. "I went into shock. I felt like I was going to pass out."

86. Alex Duron is an ICU nurse whose admission to a graduate nursing program at Union University in Jackson, Tennessee was revoked in August of 2020 because Alex is gay and engaged to a man.

87. Union enrolls 3,172 students.

88. Union sought and received religious exemptions from the Department to facilitate its discrimination towards sexual and gender minorities. See Exhibit JJ.

89. Alex is a Latinx, Catholic man from Texas who is a first generation college graduate.

90. Alex worked hard to build a nursing career by obtaining an Associate's degree from San Antonio College and a Bachelor's degree from the University of Texas, Arlington.

91. Alex worked as an ICU nurse for six and a half years before his admission to Union.

92. After Union University admitted Alex to its program, Alex prepared to start the next chapter at his life by moving from San Antonio, Texas to Jackson, Tennessee. Alex sold his car, quit his nursing job, paid his deposits to Union and secured graduate student housing.

93. Alex was proud of himself, and his family and nursing colleagues were proud of Alex.

94. However, without warning, and only days before Alex was to start the Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP) program at Union, he received an email from Union telling him that his admission was being rescinded because Alex is gay and engaged to man.

95. "I remember the moment I received that email vividly," Alex says.

96. "My future was ripped away from me."

97. "It felt like all my work as an ICU nurse and all my prior degrees meant nothing."

98. Alex, a grown man with a successful career, loving family and fiancé, went into his closet, curled up into a ball, and cried.

99. At the time Union rescinded Alex's admission, Union had a blanket ban on homosexuality in its student handbook.

100. Union later changed its Community Values Statement to prohibit: "homosexual behavior, even in the context of marriage."

101. Other LGBTQ+ students, many closeted, remain on campus at Union University.

102. Many of them are afraid that what Union did to Alex will be done to them if they come out or are outed by other students.

Zayn Silva – Nyack College

103. “Nyack’s rejection hurt and caused me anxiety and to feel depressed. I didn’t immediately apply to another seminary because this rejection pushed me off course. I didn’t want to go through a rejection from another school.”

104. Zayn Silva was in the process of applying to Nyack College, with intent to enter its seminary program. In his application, he openly admitted to being a trans man and described how this influenced his calling to the ministry.

105. Nyack enrolls 1,981 students.

106. Zayn is a Pentecostal Christian. His family’s church rejected him due to being trans.

107. Despite this early rejection by his church, Zayn remains “a Christian with deep faith.”

108. Zayn started an online platform as a way to help trans youth find a ministry.

109. According to Zayn, “I was further led by God to pursue a seminary education.”

110. Zayn applied to Nyack after being told by multiple representatives from the school that there would be no issues with him being trans.

111. Depending on these representations, Zayn described his faith journey and gender transition in his application. Part of the application stated that “I dedicate every day to letting the youth know, especially the marginalized LGBT, that God is the answer.

112. However, shortly after filing his application, he was contacted by the director of admissions. The director informed Zayn that Nyack declined his application because he was transgender and not ashamed nor repentant about it.

113. While the school claimed that they appreciated Zayn’s honesty about being trans, Nyack rejected admission based on Zayn’s openness and disclosure of his gender identity in his application.

114. Zayn was informed that the school’s board of trustees rejected his application because they believe in “male and female.”

115. Nyack communicated about their rejection solely by telephone. The school ignored Zayn's requests to put the rejection in writing. School authorities also ignored his requests to know which of Nyack’s policies at the time he had violated. His follow-up requests were also ignored.

116. Nyack does not have a written policy or position statement on gender identity.

117. Zayn is starting a different educational program in the fall yet hopes to pursue a seminary education soon.

Rachel Moulton – Brigham Young University-Idaho

118. “They compared LGBTQ+ people, who just wanted the right to marry the person they love, to agents of Satan who were attacking the family.”

119. Rachel Moulton was a student at Brigham Young University-Idaho ("BYUI") through the end of 2020.

120. BYUI enrolls 39,145 students.

121. BYUI requested and received a religious exemption from the Department to facilitate its discrimination against transgender students. See Exhibit KK.

122. BYUI and BYU-Provo are doing little make sure their LGBT students are safe - mentally, emotionally, or spiritually.

123. Part of this destructive atmosphere includes teaching that even if Rachel and other LGBT women "struggle with same-sex attraction, [they] could be happy marrying a man." LGBT students at BYU are also taught that they would "no longer experience same-sex attraction in the afterlife."

124. The teaching that there will be no same-sex attraction in the next life is a dangerous one. It triggers suicidal ideation in some students who, like Rachel, would do "anything not to be gay", even if that includes ending their own lives.

125. This damaging message being communicated by BYU and the LDS church led Rachel to attempt suicide halfway through her first semester at BYU Idaho.

126. "I felt damaged, cursed. I felt like I needed to be fixed, but no one and nothing could fix me."

127. By promulgating these messages, BYU policy makes some students desperate to rid themselves of same-sex attraction, as they are taught they must do, and as they are told they can do in the afterlife. This is a dangerous and irresponsible message.

128. BYU routinely teaches its students that "it was a sin to be married to the same gender or act on homosexual feelings" and "we will never be as happy marrying someone of the

same gender as we would be if we followed the commandment to marry someone of the opposite sex.”

129. BYUI students have formed an unofficial LGBT support group, which is not allowed to meet on campus.

130. BYU has a history of forcing its students into conversion therapy.

131. BYU briefly changed its honor code, seemingly allowing its LGBT students to date and be open about their identity on campus. This led many LGBT students to believe it was safe to come out as who they were.

132. This position was reversed by BYU, forcing its LGBT students to go back in the closet while once again opening up those students to the risk of disciplinary action by the school.

133. Ultimately, Rachel left BYU. She briefly attended online classes, but upon realizing that BYU’s toxicity was not limited to in-person learning, she left once more to protect her mental health.

134. Rachel has attended therapy for years, attempting to reconcile being gay and being an LDS church member and former BYU student. “I know I am a child of God,” she says. “I know now that being gay and being a child of God can both be true. This acceptance of myself has healed me from a lot of the religious trauma I experienced earlier in my life.”

Victoria Joy Bacon – Lipscomb University

135. “I feel that Lipscomb’s policies towards sexual morality are left vague so they can enforce the present policy how and when they feel like it. This puts queer and trans students at risk of punishment and in a state of fear.”

136. Lipscomb is a Christian university in Nashville, TN. It has a short and vague statement on sexuality that says, “all students should practice biblical standards of sexual morality. Sexual immorality of any kind is prohibited.”

137. Although Victoria was open about their identity in high school, at Lipscomb “I felt unsafe on campus from the first day I moved in. As a result, I initially went back into the closet as a freshman.” School officials would often make homophobic and transphobic statements to Victoria. Lipscomb administrators would often overlook hateful behavior or statements. Students and administrators at Lipscomb would subject Victoria to a barrage of hateful language, even slurs. RA’s would witness Victoria being called slurs and would do nothing to intervene.

138. “I also felt in danger of physical harassment from other students,” Victoria says.

139. Queer and trans students asked the school to condemn a hateful speech given during chapel. The school rejected this request, saying that intervention on behalf of its LGBT students would offend donors.

140. “This experience created a time of intense trauma for me and the queer students on campus,” Victoria says.

141. Victoria attempted to set up an LGBTQ+ group on campus, but Lipscomb refused to accept the new club despite the application meeting all Lipscomb’s requirements.

142. Lipscomb's decision to allow this group to form was ultimately delayed until after Victoria graduated.

143. During their time at Lipscomb, Victoria was sexually assaulted and did not feel safe reporting it to the school. “I had heard from other sexual assault survivors how Lipscomb never really helped them when they reported sexual assault.” As a result, Victoria never reported the assault.

Avery Bonestroo – Dordt University

144. "I fear that I will be forbidden to graduate or be forced to participate in conversion therapy if I do come out."

145. Avery is bisexual and gender fluid. They are a student at Dordt University. Avery feels compelled to remain closeted because of Dordt University's policies. These policies indicate that Avery could face extreme discipline by the school, including possible expulsion or forced therapy meant to "cure" them.

146. Dordt has several policies in its student handbook that discriminate against LGBT students. Not only does the school forbid "homosexual relations" or "transgendered behavior," but the school even "prohibits promoting or advocating such activity."

147. Avery stands to be disciplined under Dordt's policies for everything from dating their girlfriend to using the name or pronouns that reflect their gender identity.

148. Students and faculty have told Avery to dress and act "more feminine". Additionally, Dordt repeatedly hosts speakers on campus who advocate for a non-affirming view of LGBT students. Some professors teach that LGBT students are sinful and rejected by God.

149. Dordt does not provide an avenue for expressing positions that may not agree with the school's stance.

150. Dordt's position on "homosexual relations" and "transgendered behavior" is not only vague but casts suspicion on the school's LGBT students. They must constantly be on guard, or they could face disciplinary action.

151. The school's policies are damaging to Avery and other LGBT students at Dordt. Avery suffers from depression and anxiety. There are few resources in the part of Iowa where Dordt is located that provide affirming support or assistance.

152. Dordt fails to curtail harassing behavior by students based on their animosity towards LGBT students, making the campus unsafe for those students as a whole.

Nathan Brittsan – Fuller Theological Seminary

153. “Fuller’s actions made me feel like a second-class Christian. They wanted to be rid of me as fast as possible and offered me no love or grace.”

154. In his 20’s, after coming out, Nathan Brittsan drifted away from the church of his youth. As he states, “more than adrift, I also felt pushed away from the church.”

155. Yet after having “profound spiritual experiences outside the church” and finding other LGBT Christians, like himself, Nathan felt called to the ministry.

156. “I felt like God was calling me to an evangelical seminary like Fuller. I felt like bridge-building needed to be done between the evangelical community and the LGBTQ+ community,” Nathan says.

157. Because of this calling, Nathan applied to and was admitted to Fuller Theological Seminary in September 2017.

158. Unfortunately, Nathan only attended for several days before the school expelled him for being gay and married to a man.

159. Fuller’s community standards state that “sexual union must be reserved for marriage, which is a covenant between one man and one woman.” The community standards also say that “homosexual forms of explicit sexual conduct [are] inconsistent with the teaching of Scripture.”

160. When Nathan attempted to change his last name on school records to reflect his marriage to a man, Fuller officials investigated Nathan. Fuller found that he was violating its community standards and expelled him just days into his first term.

161. Fuller officials repeatedly blocked Nathan from appealing its decision.

162. Fuller also claims that it is allowed to expel Nathan under a Title IX religious exemption, in spite of Fuller’s policies stating that they do not discriminate based on sex and gender identity.

163. Fuller sends the message of “love the sinner, hate the sin” through its policies, which is detrimental to LGBT students by causing self-loathing and depression.

Hayden Brown – York College

164. “My relationship with York College is very tumultuous. There are days where I skip across campus because I am ecstatic and cannot wait to see my friends, but there are other days where I do not have enough energy to get out of bed because I do not want to deal with all of the microaggressions, stares, comments, and issues that York College brings into my life.”

165. Hayden Brown attended York College because they were struggling with their sexuality. They felt that their sexuality was sinful and that they should reject it. They attended York College hoping to “pray the gay away” and because their parents would pay for them to go there.

166. “I became very depressed my freshman year because my attempt to be heterosexual wasn’t working.”

167. Hayden came out to their parents their freshman year. Their parents set them to a non-affirming therapist and also another non-affirming therapist on campus.

168. This therapy was all an attempt to make Hayden “less gay.”

169. Eventually, Hayden came out on Twitter and in person.

170. After coming out on campus, school officials often subjected Hayden to disciplinary action, most commonly due to dress code violations for wearing feminine style clothing. As a result, the school asked Hayden to wear less feminine clothing.

171. York asked Hayden to withdraw from a study abroad program in Vienna, Austria, due to their sexuality.

172. Hayden has been working with York's Title IX coordinator and the person who maintains York's student handbook, along with other administrators at the school, to help it become more accepting of its LGBT students.

173. These administrators refused to add sexual orientation and gender identity to the school's non-discrimination policy. They also declined to change the school's definition of marriage to be more inclusive.

174. York rejected a suggestion to start a gay-straight alliance for being "too divisive."

175. There is an unofficial LGBTQ+ club on campus, which Hayden helped to found. York disapproves of the club. As a result, the club cannot meet or promote meetings or events on campus. York also forbids the group from advertising on social media.

176. Hayden is often called slurs in their dorm or on campus. "I could not count the number of times I've heard it [the "F" word] on campus."

177. Hayden also feels at risk of attack on campus, taking extra precautions when coming to and from their dorm room when it is dark.

178. Other students on campus receive similar treatment.

179. York College maintains that it has no duty to protect its LGBT students because it has a Title IX religious exemption through the Department of Education.

Brooke C. – Cedarville University

180. “I am told bluntly in classes and chapel that I am unnatural, inherently bad, and deserving of eternal damnation because of who God made me.”

181. Brooke is using a pseudonym for this case. She fears for her safety and expects retaliation from Cedarville University. She is concerned about disciplinary action or expulsion if Cedarville discovers her identity and involvement in this lawsuit.

182. Brooke is a demisexual, pansexual, cis woman who is in a long-term relationship with her non-binary partner.

183. Cedarville University has policies surrounding sexual purity, including strict policies regarding LGBT identity.

184. Part of this policy states, “consistent with our desire to teach and model a biblical approach to sex, the university prohibits same-sex dating behaviors and public advocacy for the position that sex outside a biblically defined marriage is acceptable.”

185. This prohibition on same-sex dating and advocacy of anything other than heteronormative relationships creates a chilling effect on discussions of sexuality and gender.

186. While clubs on campus propagate racist and homophobic rhetoric with the approval of Cedarville, students who wish to express LGBT affirming views are denied a venue.

187. According to Brooke, “Even being discovered to have brought a book by a queer affirming author would get me in trouble and monitored.”

188. Brook says, “I feel completely unsafe on campus.” Cedarville will discipline students for even slight infractions. The School also has been known to throw students out when they are known to vary from the School’s biblical perspective of gender, marriage, and sexuality.

189. There are no queer-affirming groups or even any affirming role models on campus.

190. “Every day on campus is one of secrecy and anxiety,” Brooke says.

191. Brooke suffers from extreme depression and anxiety and says she is terrified of coming out or being outed.

192. Cedarville University has a religious exemption with the Department of Education. It weaponizes this exemption against its LGBT students. This weaponization creates an environment for these students which is unsafe – emotionally, spiritually, and even physically.

193. Brooke fears assault on campus. Cedarville has a reputation for dismissing students filing Title IX complaints about sexual harassment or other sexual misconduct.

194. According to Brooke, Cedarville often considers these assault survivors to have violated school policy. “If I were assaulted on campus, I would not feel safe reporting it to Cedarville because of their religious exemption to Title IX, ‘purity’ policies, and anti-queer policies,” Brooke says.

195. Brooke is looking forward to a time when it is safe for her to join an already established group of LGBT Alumni from Cedarville.

Gary Campbell – Clark’s Summit University (Formerly Baptist Bible College)

196. “My school’s policies made me feel very small, watched, subpar, subhuman, broken, and damaged.”

197. Gary Campbell was a student at Baptist Bible College in the early 2000s. He attended after being persuaded to by a youth pastor.

198. Gary’s parents and pastoral staff at the school agreed Gary would seek treatment and accountability for his “homosexual sin.” Gary was also subjected to increased scrutiny by the school. He was spoken to and even disciplined for school policy violations related to his sexuality.

199. On one alarming occasion, Gary was coerced into kissing and touching a dorm mate. The dorm mate pressured Gary into these activities with the express purpose of setting him up to be disciplined.

200. “I convinced myself this was justifiable behavior by the other student. I now realize I was violated,” Gary says. “I would not have been a willing participant in an activity specifically and solely geared towards getting me punished.”

201. This “setting up” of LGBT students to coerce them into violating school policies also happened to other students Gary was going to school with at the time. This indicates systematic attacks by the school’s students against other students, all being ignored by the college.

202. Eventually, Gary left the school after he decided to no longer pursue his degree there. From this point, Gary lived openly and dated a man. However, he was dealing with the psychological damages of his time at Baptist Bible College. Gary was suffering the effects of Religious Trauma Syndrome. He was also self-medicating with alcohol at the time. This coping mechanism resulted in multiple hospitalizations and two stints in rehab.

203. Gary did get sober. In 2019, after reaching two years of sobriety, Gary tried to enroll in his school again, now named Clark’s Summit University.

204. Gary was reaccepted to the school and was assured his sexuality would no longer be an issue with the school. He was called by the Dean of Men, who congratulated him on going back to school. Gary had six credits left to complete.

205. Two weeks before starting, Gary’s reacceptance to Clark’s Summit University was rescinded because Gary is gay.

206. "I felt devastated by this decision. I worked incredibly hard after years of alcoholism to rebuild my life. This decision also brought back the trauma of what happened at the school back in the early 2000s."

207. Gary suffers from continued depression and anxiety, for which he takes medication. "I struggle with not feeling good enough and am hypersensitive to criticism. I feel unsafe around other Christians," Gary says.

Tristan Campbell – Oklahoma Baptist University

208. "He [the dean of students] told me that what he was trying to say is that if I came out publicly and remained a student at OBU, he couldn't guarantee my safety."

209. Tristan Campbell came out as bisexual on Coming Out Day 2015. OBU's response was to dismiss Tristan from the school without notice. He found out when the registrar informed him he'd been administratively withdrawn and asked Tristan where he would like his transcripts sent.

210. OBU has a section about human sexuality in its student handbook, which includes the wording "due to sin and human brokenness, human experiential perception of sex and gender is not always that which God the Creator originally designed." There are descriptions of prohibited behaviors, which seem hyper-focused on trans and other LGBT individuals.

211. According to Tristan, these policies were consistent with his belief system at the time. Tristan says he spent years trying to "overcome same-sex attraction" through "prayer, reading books, and self-discipline."

212. This did not work for Tristan, and "my mental health started deteriorating, and I made no progress towards overcoming my attractions despite my several years of intense efforts."

213. After Tristan found himself counseling a youth on overcoming his same-sex attraction, things changed for him. "I knew I was giving this youth dangerous, false advice, and it troubled me."

214. After this incident and another where a resident director told Tristan that he couldn't trust an RA because they were gay, Tristan said his anxiety got very bad, and he decided to get some help.

215. Tristan came out to his therapist in the spring of 2015. He later came out to friends. "This was very good for my mental health," Tristan said.

216. While faculty and students that Tristan came out to were generally supportive, OBU reacted with condemnation and discipline.

217. Tristan was fired as a resident assistant. The school told him that, as a bisexual, he was not allowed to serve in this role. The school also said that other students who came out were harassed, and the school couldn't guarantee Tristan's safety. OBU eventually dismissed Tristan from OBU without notice.

218. During his time at OBU, Tristan was assaulted by a romantic partner. Tristan felt unsafe filing a Title IX complaint for various reasons, including that he would face repercussions from OBU. Tristan was forced to forgo protections and still had to continue attending classes, and even lived in the same dorm as his assailant.

219. Tristan started an LGBTQ+ group for OBU students and alumni. Through this group, he learned of other such incidents surround OBU and LGBT students. These students have "lost scholarships, been removed from sports teams, and been harassed."

220. OBU has a religious exemption with the Department of Education. The group that Tristan founded has been trying to convince OBU to give back this exemption to no avail.

221. "Current LGBTQ+ students at OBU are at immediate risk of anxiety, depressions, disciplinary action, and expulsion because of their LGBTQ+ identities and relationships," says Tristan.

Natalie Carter – Toccoa Falls College

222. Natalie is using a pseudonym as she fears repercussions from Toccoa Falls

223. College if they were to discover who she is and her participation in this suit.

224. Natalie began attending Toccoa Falls College in Toccoa Falls, Georgia in August 2016. She expects to graduate in July 2021.

225. Toccoa Falls College's student handbook states that "The College expects all members of the community to refrain from any form of sexual immorality including, but not limited to, any form of extramarital sexual activity, adultery, promiscuity, touching of intimate parts above or below clothing, homosexual behavior, transgenderism, viewing/participating in pornography, or sharing sexual images of one's self or others. Cohabitation is also not allowed."

226. Students are then encouraged to go to counseling for "proactive education or assistance with relationship issues."

227. Natalie is a queer woman.

228. Natalie did not want to go to Toccoa Falls College from the moment she stepped on campus for a tour there during her senior year of high school. She felt a strong vibe that this was not the school for her. She had not come fully to terms with her sexuality and was suppressing it.

229. Natalie does not feel safe on campus and feels strongly that she would not be supported if she came out.

230. Natalie feels silenced, and she experiences stomach distress knowing that she cannot safely express all that she is.

231. Toccoa Falls College puts out messaging about loving people wholeheartedly and loving all that each person is. But Natalie feels like she is not being loved for who she is, but only for half of who she is. If people at the school were to discover the other half, she feels she would be disowned.

232. Natalie does not feel safe coming out on social media because she is afraid of other students at the school outing her or the school finding out about her sexual orientation on its own.

233. Natalie feels like the school would throw her out if she were outed.

Rachel Held – Messiah University

234. “It is scary knowing that such an intimate part of life can be policed by university administrators.”

235. Rachel is a bisexual woman who is attending Messiah University. They are engaged to a cis man who does not attend Messiah.

236. Rachel says that they are comfortable talking about their fiancé on campus because he is a cis man. But Rachel is keenly aware that “I would not feel comfortable talking about my fiancé on campus, and could be subject to disciplinary action if my fiancé was a female or a transgender male.”

237. Rachel fears that if the wrong people at Messiah found out they are bisexual, they could be disciplined or even dismissed by Messiah.

238. Messiah believes that the Bible teaches that marriage is between one man and one woman. Its policies towards LGBT students encourage them to “refrain from same-sex sexual

expression.” The school forbids LGBT students from identifying as a couple or “exhibiting expressions of physical intimacy.”

239. Rachel must take precautions with whom they decide to come out to. “I feel safe coming out to my friends and some professors and staff. However, I would not publicize it to people I don’t know.”

240. The school holds relatively progressive philosophies compared to other religious schools. Its animosity towards its LGBT students contrasts with that. Messiah tolerates homophobia.

241. “Messiah University says that ‘students who identify as LGBT are welcomed at Messiah University’ However, I feel like Messiah’s welcome falls short because they adopt the ‘love the sinner, hate the sin’ mentality. It is almost more painful than an explicitly unwelcoming environment because it makes me think I will be fully safe and accepted as a bisexual student on campus, when in fact, I am not,” Rachel says.

Lauren Hoekstra – Dordt University

242. "We are held to a different, stricter level than straight students."

243. Lauren is a queer woman who attends Dordt University.

244. Dordt strictly regulates romantic relationships, especially for its LGBTQ+ students. Dordt's student handbook details forbidden behaviors, including sexual behaviors, and takes a stance against LGBTQ+ students.

245. Among the activities that the school has declared unbiblical include "promoting or advocating sexually immoral activity," "extramarital sexual relations," "homosexual relations," and "transgendered behavior."

246. Homophobia is rampant at Dordt and LGBTQ+ students fear coming out. They risk discipline, expulsion, and rejection and harassment from other students.

247. Students have sent Lauren harassing messages, professors have taught that people who practice homosexuality will burn in hell, and a Dordt administrator told her that she could come out but only if she didn't blatantly promote homosexuality or put her relationship "in the face" of the people on campus.

248. Nevertheless, Lauren did come out and has lost friends at Dordt as a result.

249. There are no LGBTQ+ role models at Dordt to help students feel accepted. There is no place on campus for LGBTQ+ students to get support.

250. LGBTQ+ students are left at the whim of Dordt's policies and how Dordt chooses to enforce those policies.

251. Lauren suffers from anxiety about the reactions she may receive due to her coming out. She also feels depression related to feeling different from other students. Lauren states that she feels "repressed in my own identity and feelings."

Chandler Horning – Brigham Young University-Idaho

252. Chandler started attending Brigham Young University Idaho (BYUI) in Rexburg, ID in September 2015. He graduated in April 2020.

253. He chose to stay at Brigham Young after he came out because he was too far into his time at school to be able to logically and easily transfer his credits.

254. BYU's recent history regarding its stance on queer students is volatile. In February 2020, the school removed language banning same-sex romantic attraction from its policies. However, a few weeks later, a commissioner from the LDS church sent out a letter stating in no uncertain terms that "the moral standards of the Church did not change" and that "same-sex

romantic behavior cannot lead to eternal marriage and is therefore not compatible with the principles included in the honor code.”

255. Presently BYU’s honor code states that students, faculty, and staff must “live a chaste and virtuous life, including abstaining from any sexual relations outside of a marriage between a man and a woman.”

256. BYUI also has a page on their counselling center website specifically discussing same sex attraction that says: “Sadly, anxiety, depression, social difficulties, feelings of isolation, and even suicidal thoughts may arise for individuals experiencing same-gender attraction.” The resources on this page lead only to LDS-approved materials.

257. Chandler is a gay man.

258. Chandler did not feel safe coming out on campus. After he did come out, he switched to attending school online. When he had to go back to on-campus learning, he put himself back in the closet for two semesters.

259. If Chandler had acted in any way on his sexuality, even if it was to hold hands with a man, he could have been expelled.

260. BYUI policies make Chandler feel like “a flawed alien not worthy to live or exist.”

261. Chandler feared that he would not only get expelled but he would also be evicted from his BYU housing for breaking the honor code.

262. Students who are expelled or suspended are evicted within three days from BYUI’s mandatory, approved unmarried student housing.

263. Losing his housing would have left Chandler homeless in rural Idaho.

Louis James – Indiana Wesleyan University

264. “I thought everyone was staring at me, thinking I was gay too.”

265. Louis is using a pseudonym for this case. He fears discrimination, dismissal, and academic harm from students, faculty, and staff if Indiana Wesleyan University discovers his identity.

266. IWU requires students to “refrain from inappropriate sexual relationships outside of a marriage between one man and one woman,” and states that “sexual relationships outside of marriage and sexual relationships between persons of the same sex are immoral and sinful.”

267. IWU goes on to say that “we believe the grace of God sufficient to overcome the practice of such activity and the perversion leading to its practice.”

268. Louis, who himself had to largely go back into the closet at IWU, knows that “there are so many IWU students in hiding.”

269. IWU told Louis that they accept LGBTQ+ students, but their handbook says otherwise.

270. Louis worries about whether he’ll be kicked out for being gay.

271. In August of 2020, Louis saw that a bunch of students were sharing a post about an RA who was fired for being gay. That made Louis think he had made a mistake in coming to IWU.

272. As a result of IWU’s policies and actions towards its LGBTQ community, Louis went into an emotional spiral last year, not sleeping and barely eating. He felt anxiety whenever he walked around campus.

273. Louis wants people to remember that LGBTQ+ students have feelings too and need safety and freedom just like everyone else.

Jonathan Jones – Azusa Pacific University

274. "This was a very scary time. I had started feeling safe coming out. Other students had started to feel safe coming out. And now this felt like a trick, like a trap."

275. Jonathan Jones is bisexual, non-binary, and gender fluid. They currently attend Azusa Pacific University ("APU").

276. In Jonathan's sophomore year, Azusa Pacific announced that it was reversing its stance on same-sex dating and that it would no longer be banned.

277. This reversal led many students, including Jonathan, to celebrate. These students believed they would be able to integrate their faith and their sexual identity and feel safe on campus.

278. However, APU then reversed course again after pressure from some constituencies, reinstating its ban on same-sex dating.

279. Soon after, APU appeared to reverse itself for the third time and now no longer addresses same-sex dating in its student policies. However, it remains unclear whether same-sex dating is allowed.

280. In addition to shifting back and forth on whether LGBTQ+ students are permitted to date, APU refuses to grant club status to the LGBTQ+ student group on campus.

281. The school designates the LGBTQ+ group as a ministry rather than a club. This puts the LGBTQ+ group on an unequal financial footing with clubs. Also, the group cannot fully decide on its programming and a staff member must be present at meetings. APU even forced the group to change its name from Haven, to Tapestry.

282. APU's separate but equal treatment of the LGBTQ+ student group is not truly equal and feels stigmatizing.

283. For all of these reasons, Jonathan feels unsafe at APU on an institutional level.

284. Jonathan also feels unsafe because APU routinely caters to its conservative donors, putting its LGBTQ+ students' health and safety at risk.

Ashtin Murkowski – Brigham Young University-Provo

285. Ashtin started attending Brigham Young University in Provo, UT in September 2014. She graduated in December 2020.

286. Ashtin chose Brigham Young because of family tradition and religious affiliation.

287. Ashtin is a lesbian woman.

288. Ashtin did not generally feel safe coming out on the BYU campus.

289. Ashtin held an on-campus job at the Missionary Training Center where she trained missionaries and taught people about her church online. She did this for two and a half years.

290. Ashtin was fired from this job after she cut her hair to better match her gender expression. This firing was done according to the school's purported rules, saying that her hair was "extreme and distracting" and that it was "too masculine, not feminine enough".

291. Ashtin did not feel that she was going against the school's dress and grooming standards and that BYU's interpretation of these rules was very subjective. This seemed especially subjective because the school had just hired a man with bleached hair, which was against the rules for men.

292. Even though Ashtin graduated months ago, she still feels like she is going to "get in trouble" because of how she constantly had to worry that she would be thrown out of school for dating someone of the same gender.

293. Like many other BYU students, Ashtin was thrilled when BYU changed their Honor Code to remove the prohibition on "homosexual behavior".

294. When the church responded by declaring that "homosexual behavior does not lead to eternal marriage" it did not make sense to Ashtin.

295. Ashtin felt like BYU wanted an excuse to not allow non-sexual same-gender behavior such as dating, handholding, kissing, or cuddling.

296. As a result of her time at BYU and because of their policies, Ashtin was diagnosed with generalized anxiety disorder and major depressive disorder. She is currently working with a LGBTQ+ affirming therapist for religious trauma and C-PTSD.

Cameron Martinez – La Sierra University

297. Cameron began attending La Sierra University in September 2017. They expect to graduate in June 2022.

298. La Sierra has a Sexual Morality policy listed in its student handbook which addresses both LGBTQ students and cohabitating students. It states, in part, “we resolve to live consistently within traditional Christian values and teaching on sexuality.” The statement goes on to say “La Sierra’s policies are informed by an Adventist understanding of biblical principles. The university acknowledges the complexity of issues surrounding sexuality and is committed to the open and rigorous study of Scripture and discussion of all perspectives, both inside and outside the classroom.”

299. La Sierra’s student handbook states that it supports “the Seventh-day Adventist position on Homosexuality.”

300. The Seventh-Day Adventist position on Homosexuality states: “The Bible makes no accommodation for homosexual activity or relationships. Sexual acts outside the circle of a heterosexual marriage are forbidden[.]”

301. The Seventh-day Adventist position statement refers to Bible verses that state: “If a man has sexual relations with a man as one does with a woman, both of them have done what is detestable. They are to be put to death; their blood will be on their own heads.” Leviticus 20:13.

302. Cameron is a queer, non-binary person.

303. As a student, Cameron's problems have been with the administration and its actions, rather than with other students.

304. Because of vague and problematic policies or their performative activism, queer students aren't protected at La Sierra.

305. When LGBTQ+ students have filed complaints of discrimination, staff and faculty use their discretion to justify the lack of change on campus.

306. The administration does not fully support the LGBTQ+ group on campus as it is not allowed to be an officially recognized club.

307. While La Sierra no longer punishes a student merely for identifying as LGBTQ+, the school continues to adhere to a policy that considers homosexual activities and relationships to be "detestable," as is stated in the Seventh-day Adventist position on Homosexuality that is formally adopted by La Sierra in its Sexual Morality policy.

308. During Cameron's time as a criminal justice student, they witnessed ample amounts of homophobia and transphobia on campus.

309. Cameron was once sat down by the director of the Corona, California campus to listen to the director's statements promoting the righteousness of heterosexuality.

310. Cameron has personally witnessed professors using hate speech about queer identities during lectures, with students present.

Joanna Maxon – Fuller Theological Seminary

311. "I spent nearly a year holding the pain and anger inside and not talking with friends or a therapist about it because I was not emotionally able to face what Fuller had done to me."

312. Joanna Maxon was very proud of her admission to Fuller Theological Seminary. “Earlier in life, I struggled to finish life goals,” she says, “it was really important to me to finish the program.”

313. Joanna never graduated from Fuller, unfortunately. She was expelled with just a few remaining credits after Fuller discovered she was married to a woman.

314. Joanna was expelled after a financial aid employee reported her to the school’s administration. Joanna disclosed her marriage to a woman on a financial aid document.

315. However, as Joanna states: “I felt safe being out about my sexuality with other students and professors at Fuller. I spoke and wrote about my family, including my wife and daughter. None of my professors or other students expressed concern to me about my same-sex marriage.”

316. Fuller’s Title IX administrator was one of the people enforcing Fuller’s discriminatory actions against Joanna. Fuller did not provide a Title IX administrator with whom Joanna could seek help.

317. At the time of her expulsion, Joanna was five classes short of completing her degree, after having attended Fuller for three years.

318. Joanna currently attends therapy for emotional distress resulting from her expulsion and the issues that it brought up. She says she felt “lost and confused.”

319. “I do not want anyone else to be injured in the way I have been,” Joanna says.

Mackenzie McCann – Liberty University

320. “From the minute I set foot on Liberty’s campus, I knew it’d never be safe to be authentically myself.”

321. Mackenzie is a queer, non-binary former student of Liberty University.

322. Liberty has a reputation for its conservative beliefs. Its student handbook, for example, has a section on sexuality and relationships that states, “sexual relations outside of a biblically ordained marriage between a natural-born man and natural-born women are not permissible.”

323. Mackenzie describes their time at Liberty as filled with misogynistic and homophobic comments. These comments came from faculty and students alike. This included words to “pray for a lesbian” and slurs, along with comments about Mackenzie’s appearance.

324. “Students at Liberty behave in homophobic and anti-queer ways because they know they can do so with relative impunity. Liberty’s culture enables such conduct and makes students feel like Liberty is backing them,” Mackenzie says.

325. During her time at Liberty, Mackenzie started dating a woman, long-distance. They describe how this period at Liberty caused serious emotional distress. “The secrecy and self-doubt was a huge strain and source of anxiety. I felt like I could not trust my heart because my beliefs and Liberty’s policies told me my heart desired something sinful,” Mackenzie says of their experience.

326. Mackenzie left Liberty after one semester “for my own emotional safety.”

327. “My mental health struggles stemmed from the repercussions of Liberty’s campus climate, in which my queer identity was demonized as something evil,” Mackenzie says.

Darren McDonald – Westmont College, Fuller Theological Seminary

328. “I did not feel safe coming out. I did not feel safe existing. The cultural climate at Westmont and Fuller was harsh with homosexuality positioned as an ‘issue’ and an enemy of the church.”

329. Darren attended Westmont College in Santa Barbara, California, graduating in 2002. He also attended Fuller Theological Seminary in Pasadena, California, graduating in 2006.

330. Darren is a gay man. He is also partially sighted.

331. “I grew up knowing I had to follow the rules because I needed the approval of my parents and church for my safety and protection,” Darren says. He later goes on to say, “I found a lot of acceptance in the church because I was not viewed as a blind, fat kid like I was at school. I was viewed as a whole person and part of a family.”

332. Darren was bullied heavily at the public school that he attended. He says that he chose both Westmont and Fuller because of the bullying he faced.

333. Both Westmont and Fuller have statements in their handbooks regarding human sexuality and marriage.

334. Westmont only allows sexual expression within the bounds of heterosexual marriage, forbidding sexual relations between same-sex partners. Westmont also says that “when a student approaches us and communicates that he or she is struggling with sexual purity or same-sex attraction, we aim to offer safety that promotes openness, to communicate personal acceptance, and provide accountability and assistance to support students to live consistently with biblical teaching.”

335. Fuller’s statement is similar in many ways and states that marriage is between one man and one woman. It forbids “homosexual forms of explicit sexual conduct.” Fuller also encourages abstinence from “unbiblical sexual practices” amongst members of its community.

336. Darren says he was deeply in denial about his sexuality. “I suppressed my sexuality for a long time, in part, because of the cultural narrative that treats disabled people as non-sexual beings.”

337. He also did not feel safe coming out at Westmont or Fuller. He did “not feel safe existing.”

338. Darren faced harassment and discrimination at both institutions. He was forced to act as a gay stereotype at a Westmont student event. The result was ridicule from the other students. “I felt like a contemptible monstrosity. I internalized it for a very long time,” Darren says.

339. Darren also had to deal with issues at Fuller, such as being forced to participate in a lecture that framed lesbians wanting to join a church as a controversial issue.

340. Darren felt the need to drink to survive his classes emotionally. He says he felt suicidal.

341. Both schools suggested conversion therapy to treat his emotional distress and suicidality.

342. “I still suffer from depression, anxiety, shame, and internalized homophobia as a result of attending these schools,” Darren says.

Scott McSwain

343. Scott started attending Union University in Jackson, TN in July 2006. He graduated in May 2010.

344. Scott was originally supposed to attend Murray State University in Kentucky but, when his father found out Scott’s roommate to be was gay, his family decided it was best to attend a school where surrounding Scott with godly people would have a more “positive” effect on him.

345. Union has included “Community Values Statements” in the student handbook that goes to all students. These statements address a number of the school’s moral and ethical standards, including standards affecting queer and gender non-conforming students.

346. "The "Community Values Statements" include a paragraph on "Sexually Impure Relationships" that states "Sexually impure relationships include but are not limited to participation in or appearance of engaging in premarital sex, extramarital sex, homosexual activities, or cohabitation. Union affirms that sexual relationships are designed by God to be expressed solely within a marriage between a man and a woman. The Bible condemns all sexual relationships outside of marriage (Matt. 5:27-29; Gal. 5:19). The promotion, advocacy, defense or ongoing practice of a homosexual lifestyle (including same-sex dating behaviors) is also contrary to our community values. Homosexual behaviors, even in the context of a marriage, remain outside Union's community values. We seek to help students who face all types of sexual temptation, encouraging single students to live chaste, celibate lives, and encouraging married students to be faithful to their marriage and their spouse."

347. The policy goes on to address transgender students in a "Gender Identity" paragraph which states: "Union adheres to the biblical tenet that God created only two genders, that He fashioned each one of us and thus designated our gender/sex. Therefore, identifying oneself as a gender other than the gender assigned by God at birth is in opposition to the University's community values. Further, engaging in activities or making any efforts to distinguish or convert one's gender/sex to something other than the gender/sex to which you were biologically born and which was God-given (i.e. transvestites, transsexuals, transgenders, etc.) is prohibited."

348. The school dress code also commands that faculty, students, and staff must "dress in such a way that represents their legal gender".

349. Scott is a gay man.

350. During his time at Union, the school found out Scott was gay.

351. Union officials took Scott into a dimly lit room where they told him that he was going to hell and that the school was worried for his soul.

352. Union officials told Scott that he would be thrown out and all of his credits taken away if he did not attend sexual conversion therapy.

353. Scott was given vouchers for an Exodus International approved therapist.

354. Scott was also required to install software that would send the school his online history every week.

355. During his time in conversion therapy, Scott was sexually assaulted by his therapist.

356. Union University subjected Scott to severe psychological torture through their discipline.

357. Union's policies made Scott feel that he was subpar and subhuman in their eyes.

358. As a result of Union's actions, Scott was diagnosed with anxiety and panic disorder. Scott has been to urgent care multiple times for panic attacks, including as recently as August of 2020.

Faith Millender – Eastern University

359. "I feel like I am disregarded and that my sexuality makes me 'less than' other students because of these policies."

360. Faith is a bisexual/queer woman who attends Eastern University.

361. She is co-president of Eastern's official LGBTQIA+ club, Refuge. Refuge's goals are "To provide a safe place for queer students and allies, to be seen and heard," and to "[p]rovide advocacy and education for the non-affirming constituencies on our campus."

362. Eastern sanctioned the club in 2017.

363. Eastern prohibits sexual expression outside a biblically-sanctioned marriage between one man and one woman.

364. Despite Eastern's partial support for its LGBTQ+ students, the school is not an affirming one. Its policies ultimately result in LGBTQ+ students not feeling safe and accepted at Eastern.

365. Eastern's policies lead to anxiety amongst LGBT students because it is unclear whether it is safe to come out on campus.

366. Faith does not feel safe with some faculty and staff members because of their homophobia.

367. Additionally, Faith's program at Eastern, nursing, does not educate about LGBTQ+ health care.

368. Faith believes that her nursing program administrators would not protect her if she faced discrimination from patients or providers.

369. According to Faith, "while Eastern provides a better environment for queer students than some other Christian colleges, I still feel frustrated and invalidated because of my school's policies on sexual orientation."

Journey Mueller – Colorado Christian University

370. "I barely survived this experience."

371. Journey Mueller says that to stay alive, she had to leave Colorado Christian University ("CCU").

372. Journey, a lesbian woman, attended CCU from August 2017 until April 2018.

373. While a student, Journey's roommates locked her in a dorm room and forced her to confess to being attracted to women. Journey's roommates then reported her to the school for breaking the school's policy on sexuality.

374. The school did not discipline Journey's roommates for locking her in her room. Rather, the school instead severely punished Journey for her sexual orientation, eventually forcing her to leave for mental health reasons.

375. CCU's current sexuality policy for students states that "some human beings experience confusion regarding their gender identity and/or sexual orientation. When students at CCU find themselves with questions regarding their gender identity, or sexual orientation, they are encouraged to come forward and take advantage of the University's Counseling Center services and pastoral resources to help guide and direct them through their struggle. At times it may be necessary to remove a student from specific involvement such as athletic team participation, leadership positions, or other University activities either temporarily or permanently. The University will allow students to continue their enrollment at the University as long as they can remain celibate, and as they undergo counseling and mentoring....If a student does engage in a same-sex relationship, violates the policy on same-sex behavior, or exhibits same-sex intimate relationship behavior anytime during the mentoring, he or she will be disciplined within the terms of the sexual conduct/activity policy."

376. "CCU endorses healthy heterosexual relationships that uphold God's desire for sexual purity and which seek to honor Him through a holistic biblical relationship."

377. Acting pursuant to its student policies, CCU disciplined Journey by forcing her to participate in conversion therapy with the goal of making her straight. CCU also compelled

Journey to attend "ex-gay" chapels at the school where same-gender relationships were demonized and abstinence was touted as the solution to same-sex attraction.

378. Additionally, school officials removed Journey from her dorm and forced her into a housing situation where she would not be around women and was isolated.

379. CCU also blocks access to Pro-LGBTQ+ websites and resources on its campus internet. This blocked Journey and continues to block other students from essential resources and support.

380. The toxic policies of CCU resulted in a snitching culture and policies that seek to eliminate CCU's queer population. CCU encourages an environment that allows bullying and peer pressure, harassment, isolation, and extreme psychological damage of LGBTQ+ students.

381. As a direct result of CCU's discriminatory practices, Journey suffered greatly. Her emotional health suffered to the point of suicide attempts and self-harm.

382. Journey was clinically diagnosed with PTSD due to her experience at CCU.

383. Journey's relationship with her parents also suffered.

384. As a result of CCU's actions, she experienced a period of housing instability.

385. CCU sought and received a religious exemption from Defendant U.S. Department of Education. This exemption effectively enables the discrimination and harassment of LGBTQ+ students at CCU.

Jaycen Montgomery

386. Jaycen started attending George Fox University (GFU) in Newberg, Oregon in August 2012. He left in March 2016 without graduating.

387. GFU has a "Lifestyle Statement" which states, "In regard to sexual morality, we believe that only marriage between a man and a woman is God's intention for the joyful fulfillment

of sexual intimacy. This should always be in the context of mutual compassion, love, and fidelity. Sexual behaviors outside of this context are inconsistent with God's teaching. We recognize these principles may conflict with the practice or opinion of some within the larger culture. We are convinced that this is God's design for providing the most loving guidance and practice for individuals and our community.”

388. GFU’s student handbook states that “George Fox University accepts the biblical standards that prohibit all sexual immorality” and that “we believe the power of God and the wisdom of the Holy Spirit combine to provide the means to live victoriously with respect to sexual purity.”

389. Jaycen is a transgender man of open sexuality.

390. George Fox University's policies and practices made him feel excluded and unrecognized.

391. Jaycen dealt with a lot of anxiety and depression as well as not feeling safe as one should expect to feel in their school.

392. While a student at GFU, Jaycen requested to move from women's housing to and to live with other men after his transition.

393. Living in a women's dorm meant that each day, the first thoughts Jaycen had were about his struggles living in a body that never felt right to him.

394. Living in women's housing while undergoing testosterone therapy was especially challenging for Jaycen.

395. George Fox officials initially denied his request to live with other men because Jaycen is a transgender man.

396. Jaycen filed a Title IX complaint with the U.S. Department of Education regarding this housing discrimination.

397. George Fox University requested a religious exemption from the U.S. Department of Education so that they could maintain their discriminatory housing policy while continuing to receive federal funding.

398. The U.S. Department of Education granted GFU's request for a religious exemption and closed Jaycen's complaint file with the Department as a result of that exemption.

399. GFU currently maintains a policy on transgender students, which states, in part: "Given the varying circumstances of students identifying as transgender, addressing their particular needs will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, prioritizing the well-being of the individual and community alike."

Jake Picker – Baylor University

400. "Baylor claims to love its LGBTQ+ students, yet they refuse to grant us access to any form of student support system. They treat our existence like there is something inherently wrong with us."

401. Jake is a pre-med student at Baylor and a bisexual man.

402. Jake is in a leadership position at Gamma Alpha Upsilon, the only "official" unofficial LGBTQ+ support group for Baylor students.

403. Baylor refuses to grant Gamma Alpha Upsilon's charter, cutting off an important source of representation and restricting the ability of LGBTQ+ students to support one another.

404. Baylor has an official stance on human sexuality, which includes a definition of marriage as between a man and a woman. The policy also states that Baylor students are expected to refrain from participating in groups that "promote understandings of human sexuality that are contrary to biblical teaching."

405. Baylor also encourages its students who are “struggling” with their sexual identity to attend counseling at an on-campus counseling center.

406. While Baylor is unlikely to discipline its queer students for being queer, it creates a hostile environment for its LGBTQ+ students.

407. For example, an LGBTQ+ student is likely to face discipline if they openly show affection for a same-sex partner on campus.

408. “Baylor has made its LGBTQ+ students feel like they are less than and undesirable.”

Danielle Powell – Grace University

409. “I have lost so much due to Grace University’s actions. I lost respect, equal treatment, vocational opportunities, financial earnings, anonymity, etc. I do not want other students to have to face the same losses.”

410. Danielle Powell was a student at Grace University, which is now permanently closed.

411. Included in Grace’s student policies was a section on dating. It stated, in part, “sexual fulfillment is reserved by God for heterosexual marriage.” The policy also promised discipline for “homosexual acts,” among other things.

412. Danielle started to question her sexuality in her senior year at Grace when she started having feelings for her best friend – a woman.

413. “I did not know in detail the consequences of coming out at that time,” says Danielle. “I did not know that the culture of Grace University did not accept homosexuality.”

414. When she came out, Danielle was separated from her peers in housing within 24 hours. She was subjected to questioning about her faith and was shamed during disciplinary proceedings.

415. Danielle was not allowed to spend time alone with her girlfriend.

416. Ultimately, Grace expelled Danielle.

417. To make matters worse, Grace University demanded that Danielle repay over \$6,000 in institutional scholarship funds and initially refused to release her transcripts until she did so.

418. After Danielle's expulsion, Grace changed its wording to expressly forbid same-sex dating. Danielle says this change "made me feel vindicated as I realized other students would not face the same problem I did when it came to misleading language in the code of conduct."

Megan Steffen – Moody Bible Institute

419. "I found myself in an environment that actively hated me and wanted me gone. I felt like I was in survival mode every day."

420. Megan Steffen was a student at Moody Bible Institute (MBI) in Chicago, Illinois.

421. She attended MBI for many reasons, including that her sister went there, it was an alcohol-free campus that would support her sobriety, and it was a Christian school.

422. According to Megan, "I did not consider MBI's stance towards LGBTQ+ students at the time I decided to attend as I was not out, even to myself, yet."

423. Moody's human sexuality statement states, "We conclude that non-marital sex, homosexual sex, same-sex relationships, and transgender expressions are deviations from God's standard, misrepresenting the nature of God Himself."

424. Megan says her early years at Moody Bible Institute were good. However, when she began coming out to close friends and family, her peers at MBI primarily responded negatively.

425. Megan began hearing about negative reactions to her sexual identity after she posted pro-LGBTQ+ content on social media.

426. Fellow students began asking Megan to defend her sexuality and told her that her lifestyle was wrong and sinful. She received anonymous mail telling her she should be ashamed of herself for being a lesbian. She would occasionally get reported to MBI officials for her social media posts.

427. Megan says, “Attending MBI, which had felt safe and supportive before I came out, became a place of fear that had turned against me.”

428. Megan landed on the school administration’s radar after they told her they had problems with her attending the Women’s March.

429. Megan was forced to attend meetings with an MBI administrator at least ten times. At these meetings, she would have to discuss social media posts, relationship status, and sexual identity.

430. In 2019, Megan received an official warning from MBI due to a social media post where she said she was a lesbian. As a result, she had to agree to no longer post on social media until Spring 2020, when she was done with school.

431. She maintained her social media silence until she finished her classes and was no longer on campus. However, she resumed posting prior to her graduation ceremony.

432. When Megan posted again about an LGBTQ+ event that she attended, the school threatened not to allow her to graduate.

433. The school claimed professors had expressed concern about whether she should be allowed to get her diploma from MBI.

434. “The result of this was that, less than a week before graduation, I had to defend why I deserved a diploma,” says Megan.

435. Megan continues, “it was clear during this meeting that I would not get my diploma unless the answers I gave to their questions aligned with MBI’s policies about sexuality and marriage. Because I had been placed in an impossible situation and my hard-earned degree was on the line, I told MBI that I agreed with their non-affirming policies and planned to live according to them. As a result, MBI allowed me to receive my diploma.”

436. Megan graduated in May 2020 with a degree in Human Services. She said she was so shaken by her final experience at Moody that she was scared for several months that she might do something to upset MBI.

437. Due to her experience at Moody, Megan’s depression and anxiety became very severe. She had panic attacks, she couldn’t get out of bed, had insomnia, and dealt with suicidal thoughts.

438. “I cannot imagine ever finding myself in as abusive and manipulative of an environment as I did at Moody,” Megan says.

Spencer Vigil – Seattle Pacific University

439. “I felt like I was always doing something wrong being who I was. SPU’s grace had edges.”

440. Spencer Vigil attended Seattle Pacific University (SPU). He wanted to go to college in a larger and more liberal city. Unfortunately, his experience as a trans man at SPU was one of discrimination and harassment.

441. One of SPU’s statements on human sexuality states that “human beings are created in the image of God, male and female,” and “human sexuality is both a relational truth and gender differentiated.”

442. It goes on to say that “while we affirm the institution of marriage, we also recognize and affirm the call of some to singleness and celibacy.”

443. Spencer tried to come out as trans in 2019. He began using his new name at SPU but was publicly humiliated for his new name by a professor when Spencer asked that professor to refer to him as "Spencer". In front of the class, the professor said: “I am not going to call you that.”

444. SPU confronted Spencer after he tried out for a male part in a theater department production. “This was important to me because I wanted to play a character on-stage whose gender was consistent with my gender off-stage.”

445. He was asked to meet with a professor and given a document for “my and the department’s protection.”

446. This document stated that Spencer knew he was breaking lifestyle expectations at SPU. It also detailed the various types of discipline he could face for doing this, including removal from the school.

447. “I did not agree with these things, but, at the time, I felt I had no other option. So, I signed it,” Spencer says. When looking back on this, Spencer remembers, “I was so emotionally devastated that I had trouble sleeping for months.”

448. Spencer reported SPU’s discrimination to SPU but SPU did not take action in response to Spencer’s report.

449. Spencer continues to suffer from anxiety, depression, and insomnia because of his experiences at SPU.

Lucas Wilson – Liberty University

450. “Repression, deep-seated shame, self-hatred: these were the enduring fruits of my meetings. At the time, I didn’t understand that you can’t fix what isn’t broken.”

451. Lucas was a student at Liberty University. The “meetings” Lucas describes are conversion therapy. Lucas chose to attend this therapy. “It pains me that conversion therapy remains an available option at Liberty and is encouraged by the administration.”

452. Lucas also attended a group now called “Armor Bearers,” a conversion therapy group for men. According to Liberty, “this is a group that helps male students struggling with same-sex attraction and sex addiction.”

453. Conversion therapy is offered as part of a “menu” by Liberty. A “menu” of educational opportunities” from which students who accept responsibility for violating school policies are given a choice.

454. “Even if I was comfortable as a gay man and even if I accepted myself, I would never have come out because of how homophobic the campus is,” Lucas says. He goes on to say, “I was very anxious when I was on campus that people would know that I am gay, so I constantly monitored how I presented myself. The school caused me a profound sense of shame, which let me hate who I was for a long time.”

455. Liberty University, by pushing students into conversion therapy or otherwise disciplining them for being LGBTQ+, continues to treat students poorly, actively discriminates against them, and attempts to change their sexual orientation.

456. Lucas says that many LGBTQ+ students “continue to face such immense hatred on a daily basis and must live in a queer subterfuge.”

Audrey Wojnarowisch - George Fox University

457. “We need George Fox to acknowledge our existence, affirm our identities, and stop policing our relationships and our bodies.”

458. Audrey Wojnarowisch is a student at George Fox University who will be graduating in May 2022.

459. She decided to go to George Fox for many reasons, including that it was close to her family, it was a small school, and it had a Christian culture. “I appreciated the intimate ‘Be Known’ promise of a community that would see who I am and care for me as an individual,” Audrey says.

460. George Fox University maintains a lifestyle agreement that discriminates against its LGBTQ+ community. It dictates that “only marriage between a man and a woman is God’s intention for the joyful fulfillment of sexual intimacy.” The sexuality and relationships section of the student handbook prohibits “sexual immorality.”

461. The school does not explicitly state that same-sex dating and displays of affection are prohibited, but it is also unclear how GFU defines “sexual immorality.” It is difficult for LGBTQ+ students to navigate these nebulous rules.

462. Audrey came out her freshman year after another student came out at school and received a positive reaction.

463. The head of the student government issued a statement supporting and affirming George Fox’s LGBTQ+ students. Audrey felt this was a great affirmation from the campus.

464. However, this affirming message prompted the president of GFU to reiterate the school’s official policy on sexuality.

465. While there are affirming members of faculty, those same faculty members fear getting fired for their support.

466. Even at the campus health and counseling center, there are no guarantees that LGBTQ+ students would not be discriminated against.

467. “I do not feel safe on campus. My needs and the needs of my fellow queer classmates are constantly being ignored and minimized,” Audrey says.

468. One need of Audrey’s that was ignored was the duty GFU owed to her under Title IX after she reported a sexual assault.

469. Audrey was sexually assaulted in her freshman year after being stalked and sent hundreds of messages. She reported the assault to a resident advisor, who reported it to an area coordinator. The area coordinator was supposed to file a Title IX complaint about the incident but failed to do so.

470. “Because George Fox did nothing to help me, I had to figure out how to handle this as an 18-year-old freshman, who was unfamiliar with sex and dating, all on my own.”

471. Audrey says, “I want George Fox’s policies to change so that I won’t be at the risk of discipline for my identity or relationships so I, like other LGBTQ+ students, will be protected if we experience unsafe situations, harassment, or violence.”

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

472. This action is properly maintained as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

473. The class is sufficiently numerous to make joinder impracticable.

474. Plaintiffs represent a class of more than 100,000 LGBTQ+ students who attend taxpayer-funded religious colleges and universities that openly discriminate against them in both policy and practice.

475. The Plaintiffs' experiences described above are typical and common of the class they represent. LGBTQ+ students at religious colleges experience higher rates of harassment, bullying, depression, anxiety, eating disorders, alcohol abuse and sexual and physical violence, than their heterosexual and cisgender peers.

476. Many students in this class are expelled, disciplined, subjected to conversion therapy or other punishments.

477. The LGBTQ+ students in the class are unable to turn to the Department of Education for protection because their institutions have either affirmatively, or impliedly, received religious exemptions from Title IX.

478. The Plaintiff class representatives will adequately represent the class because they are either current students, expelled students or otherwise former students of taxpayer-funded religious colleges and universities that openly discriminate against LGBTQ+ students in policy and practice.

The Title IX Religious Exemption

479. The U.S. Department of Education and other federal agencies provide billions of dollars annually (\$4.2B in 2018) in funding to religious colleges and universities that discriminate against LGBTQ+ students.

480. Title IX prohibits sex discrimination at all educational institutions that receive federal funding. However, Title IX provides an exemption for educational institutions that are

controlled by religious organizations to the extent that complying with Title IX would conflict with the religious tenets of the controlling organization. *See* 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a)(3).

481. Prior to August 14, 2020, the federal regulations implementing the Title IX religious exemption required an educational institution to affirmatively apply for a religious exemption from the Department and to demonstrate that it was controlled by a religious organization.

482. The Department has never rejected an educational institution's assertion that it is controlled by a religious organization. This remains true even where the educational institution has no denominational ties, allows students from any religion or no religion at all to enroll in its courses, and receives little or no income from an affiliated church or denomination.

483. At times, the Department has sought additional information regarding the religious control of an educational institution, either from the institution or from the denomination or church that purportedly controls the educational institution, to make a determination of whether the educational institution met the Department's standard for religious control.

484. Of the numerous religious exemptions sought by educational institutions seeking permission from the Department to discriminate against sexual and gender minorities, all, or nearly all, of the religious institutions have been institutions affiliated with the Christian faith.

485. Indeed, most of the institutions seeking religious exemptions are evangelical Christian institutions affiliated with the Council for Christian Colleges & Universities (CCCU).

486. Under current regulations, 34 CFR § 106.12, an educational institution may seek an "assurance of exemption" from the Department but a formal application for exemption is no longer required: "An educational institution that seeks assurance of the exemption set forth in paragraph (a) of this section may do so by submitting in writing to the Assistant Secretary a

statement by the highest ranking official of the institution, identifying the provisions of this part that conflict with a specific tenet of the religious organization. An institution is not required to seek assurance from the Assistant Secretary in order to assert such an exemption. In the event the Department notifies an institution that it is under investigation for noncompliance with this part and the institution wishes to assert an exemption set forth in paragraph (a) of this section, the institution may at that time raise its exemption by submitting in writing to the Assistant Secretary a statement by the highest ranking official of the institution, identifying the provisions of this part which conflict with a specific tenet of the religious organization, whether or not the institution had previously sought assurance of an exemption from the Assistant Secretary.”

487. Prior to November 23, 2020, the federal regulations did not provide a test for the Department to determine whether an educational institution qualified for the religious exemption. The Department relied on internal guidance for that determination. See Exhibit LL.

488. However, under the new regulations, any of the following are sufficient for a college or university to demonstrate to the Department that it is eligible for an exemption as an educational institution “controlled by a religious organization: (1) That the educational institution is a school or department of divinity. (2) That the educational institution requires its faculty, students, or employees to be members of, or otherwise engage in religious practices of, or espouse a personal belief in, the religion of the organization by which it claims to be controlled. (3) That the educational institution, in its charter or catalog, or other official publication, contains an explicit statement that it is controlled by a religious organization or an organ thereof, or is committed to the doctrines or practices of a particular religion, and the members of its governing body are appointed by the controlling religious organization or an organ thereof, and it receives a significant amount of financial support from the controlling religious organization or an organ

thereof. (4) That the educational institution has a doctrinal statement or a statement of religious practices, along with a statement that members of the institution community must engage in the religious practices of, or espouse a personal belief in, the religion, its practices, or the doctrinal statement or statement of religious practices. (5) That the educational institution has a published institutional mission that is approved by the governing body of an educational institution and that includes, refers to, or is predicated upon religious tenets, beliefs, or teachings. (6) Other evidence sufficient to establish that an educational institution is controlled by a religious organization, pursuant to 20 U.S.C. 1681(a)(3).”

489. Finally, if an educational institution demonstrates that it is controlled by a religious organization, it must also demonstrate that application of Title IX would be inconsistent with its religious tenets.

490. The Department has never denied a religious exemption when a religious educational institution asserts a religious objection, no matter how vague or broad that objection might be, and regardless of the severity of harm inflicted on the student whose complaint, or mere existence, gave rise to the exemption request.

491. The Department has relied on religious exemptions to close Title IX complaints filed by sexual and gender minority students against their colleges or universities.

CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(42 U.S.C. § 1983 – Substantive Due Process/Equal Protection)

492. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate the preceding paragraphs.

493. Laws that target a socially despised group for legal disfavor violate the Equal Protection of the laws guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.

494. The religious exemption to Title IX targets Americans for disfavored treatment based on their sex, including targeting based on sexual orientation and gender identity.

495. The Department's policy and practice of denying Title IX claims based on Title IX's religious exemption targets Americans for disfavored treatment based on their sex, including targeting based on sexual orientation and gender identity

496. LGBTQ+ people are a historically despised group in America.

497. LGBTQ+ people remain a despised group in many parts of America.

498. LGBTQ+ youth suffer from significant mental and physical health disparities, as well as bullying and harassment at school.

499. The federal government cannot claim a legitimate governmental interest in furthering discrimination that harms sexual and gender minority students.

500. Deference to an educational institution's desire to harm an unpopular group, even when based on sincerely held religious beliefs, cannot constitute a legitimate government interest.

501. The Department lacks a legitimate interest in supporting a desire to harm sexual and gender minority students, whether the desire to harm is based on the sexual or gender minority status of a student, or based on a student's living in accordance with that status through the clothes they wear or the gender of person they hold hands with on campus.

502. The law does not recognize an identity/conduct distinction.

503. The law does not recognize "love the sinner, hate the sin."

504. Policies and laws targeting "homosexual conduct" or "transgender conduct" in fact target LGBTQ+ identity.

505. Additionally, the freedom to marry someone of the same sex is a fundamental constitutional right.

506. The religious exemption to Title IX impermissibly burdens the fundamental marriage rights of same-sex couples seeking to attend taxpayer funded religious educational institutions that prohibit their marriages.

507. College and university policies prohibiting same-sex marriage at certain taxpayer-funded institutions make LGBTQ+ students reluctant to exercise their fundamental right to marriage while enrolled at such a college or graduate school out of fear that they will be expelled.

508. Many LGBTQ+ students delay their engagements and/or marriages out of fear of retaliation from their colleges and universities.

509. When sincerely held religious beliefs become enacted as school policies that harm LGBTQ+ students at taxpayer-funded colleges and universities, the necessary consequence is that the U.S. Department of Education has put its imprimatur on an exclusion that demeans and stigmatizes sexual and gender minorities.

510. Here, the religious exemption to Title IX, and the Department's implementation of that exemption, result in concrete, verifiable and widespread harms to sexual and gender minority students in violation of the due process and equal protection guarantees of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments.

511. The named Plaintiffs, and all members of the class, have been and/or are at risk of being deprived of substantive due process and equal protection rights conferred upon them by the United States Constitution.

512. These constitutional rights include:

- a. The right to freedom from bias-related violence, abuse and harassment, including the right to be free from conversion therapy;

- b. The right to freedom from systemic discrimination based on sexual orientation, gender identity and gender expression;
- c. The right to marry the person of one's choosing, regardless of sex or gender;
- d. The right to privacy regarding sexual orientation, gender identity and gender expression;
- e. The right to medically necessary gender-affirming medical and psychological care;
- f. The right to culturally competent sexual and reproductive health services, including HIV prevention and treatment; and
- g. The right to be clothed and groomed consistent with one's sexual orientation, gender expression and gender identity.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(42 U.S.C. § 1983 - Establishment Clause)

513. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate the preceding paragraphs.

514. The religious exemption to Title IX does not serve a secular legislative purpose.

515. The religious exemption to Title IX benefits some religious educational institutions over other religious educational institutions.

516. To qualify for the exemption, religious educational institutions must operate according to certain governance structures and maintain certain beliefs. Religious educational institutions without such structures or beliefs cannot benefit from the religious exemption.

517. The religious exemption to Title IX benefits religious educational institutions over non-religious educational institutions. To qualify for the exemption, religious educational institutions must operate according to certain governance structures and maintain certain religious

beliefs. Educational institutions without such governance structures or beliefs cannot benefit from the religious exemption.

518. The religious exemptions to Title IX sought for the purpose of discriminating against LGBTQ+ students primarily or exclusively benefit Christian educational institutions.

519. The religious exemption to Title IX primarily benefits religion because it relieves certain religious institutions of regulatory burdens and liability that all other educational institutions must bear.

520. The religious exemption to Title IX prevents the Department of Education from conducting its work in a manner that is neutral with respect to religion.

521. The religious exemption does not operate in an even-handed manner among religions because religious educational institutions that affirm LGBTQ+ identities remain subject to Title IX claims when their agents discriminate against LGBTQ+ students or when they fail to address harassment and bullying of LGBTQ+ students.

522. Religious educational institutions that do not affirm LGBTQ+ identities receive a license to discriminate from the Department of Education.

523. The religious exemption to Title IX results in excessive entanglement with religion because the Department must request and analyze the governing structure and religious beliefs of the religious educational institution and/or its governing religious organization to determine whether the institution qualifies for a religious exemption.

524. Here, the religious exemption to Title IX, and the Department's implementation of that exemption, result in concrete, verifiable and widespread harms to sexual and gender minority students in violation of the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, for themselves and all others similarly situated, pray that this Court:

- A. Order that this action may be maintained as a class action pursuant to Rule 23(b)(1) and Rule 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- B. Enter judgment declaring that the religious exemption to Title IX, as applied to the class of sexual and gender minority students, is unconstitutional as it violates the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the U.S. Constitution;
- C. Enter a permanent injunction:
 - a. Prohibiting Defendants from granting further religious exemptions to Title IX as applied to sexual and gender minority students;
 - b. Rescinding all prior religious exemptions to Title IX as applied to sexual and gender minority students;
 - c. Mandating that Defendants treat Title IX complaints from sexual and gender minority students at all taxpayer-funded religious colleges in the same manner as complaints from sexual and gender minority students at taxpayer-funded non-religious colleges.
 - d. Requiring the Department to ensure that all federally-funded educational institutions respect the sexual orientation, gender identity and gender expression of their students.
- D. Enter judgment awarding Plaintiffs their costs and reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1920 and 42 U.S.C. § 1988, and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(e) and (h); and

E. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just, necessary, and proper to protect Plaintiffs and the class members from further harm.

Dated: March 29, 2021

s/ Paul Carlos Southwick

**Paul Carlos Southwick
(OSB 095141)
TRIAL ATTORNEY
Religious Exemption
Accountability Project
Paul Southwick Law, LLC
8532 N. Ivanhoe St. #208,
Portland, OR 97203
Email: paul@paulsouthwick.com
Phone: 503-806-9517**