UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MARCH FOR LIFE, et al.,	,	
Plaintiffs,))
v.	, ,) Case No. 14-cv-1149 (RJL)
SYLVIA M. BURWELL, et al.,		FILED
Defendants.		AUG 3 1 2015
	<u>ORDER</u>	Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptoy Courts for the District of Columbi

For the reasons set forth in the Memorandum Opinion entered this date, it is this day of August 2015, hereby

ORDERED that plaintiffs' Motion for Consolidated Trial on the Merits [Dkt. #11] is **GRANTED**; it is further

ORDERED that plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment [Dkt. #11] is

GRANTED as to plaintiffs' First, Second and Fourth Claims for Relief; it is further

ORDERED that plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment [Dkt. #11] is

DENIED as to plaintiffs' Third Claim for Relief; it is further

ORDERED that defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [Dkt. #16] is

DENIED as to plaintiffs' First, Second, and Fourth Claims for Relief; it is further

ORDERED that defendant's Motion to Dismiss [Dkt. #16] is **GRANTED** as to plaintiffs' Third Claim for Relief; it is further

ORDERED that the defendants, their employees, agents, and successors in office are permanently **ENJOINED** from enforcing against plaintiff March for Life, its health

plan, or its health insurance issuer in connection with March for Life's health plan

- (a) the statutes and regulations requiring a health insurance issuer to include contraceptive coverage; or
- (b) any attendant penalties, fines, or assessment for noncompliance with the above statutes or regulations; it is further

ORDERED that defendants, their employees, agents, and successors in office are permanently **ENJOINED** from enforcing against the health insurance issuer(s) of employee plaintiffs Jeanne Monahan and/or Bethany Goodman:

- (a) the statutes and regulations requiring the health insurance issuer to include contraceptive coverage in an employee plaintiff's plan offered in the individual market; or
- (b) any attendant penalties, fines, or assessment for noncompliance with the above statutes or regulations.

This Order should not be construed as to prevent enforcement of any statute or regulation against a health insurance issuer in the individual market regarding any plan offered or provided to any individual other than the named employee plaintiffs.

SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge