1 KRISTEN K. WAGGONER, WA Bar 27790 RYAN J. TUCKER, AZ Bar 034382* JEREMIAH GALUS, AZ Bar 030469* 3 ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM 15100 N. 90th Street Scottsdale, AZ 85260 5 Telephone: (480) 444-0020 kwaggoner@adflegal.org 6 rtucker@adflegal.org jgalus@adflegal.org 8 DAVID A. CORTMAN, GA Bar 188810* ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM 1000 Hurricane Shoals Rd. NE 10 Ste. D-1100 11 Lawrenceville, GA 30043 Telephone: (770) 339-0774 12 dcortman@adflegal.org 13 *Admitted pro hac vice 14 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 16 17 CHRIST'S CHURCH OF MT. Case No.: 2:20-cy-00197 SPOKANE; WESTGATE 18 **CHAPEL** Judge Thomas O. Rice 19 Plaintiffs, 20 SECOND AMENDED v. VERIFIED COMPLAINT 21 FOR DECLARATORY AND **JAY INSLEE**, in his official 22 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF capacity as Governor of 23 Washington; ROBERT Demand for Jury Trial FERGUSON, in his official 24 capacity as the Attorney General 25 of Washington; BRET D. **DAUGHERTY**, in his official 26 capacity as Adjutant General; JOHN BATISTE, in his official

capacity as Chief of the Washington State Patrol; OZZIE KNEZOVICH, in his official capacity as Sheriff of Spokane County; ADAM FORTNEY, in his official capacity as Sheriff of Snohomish County, Defendants.

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This action challenges Governor Jay Inslee's proclamations and guidance, which narrowly restrict indoor church services while exempting and treating more leniently comparable secular activities where large groups of people gather in close proximity for extended periods of time.
- 2. In counties under Phase 2 of the current reopening plan, the Governor's guidance prohibits indoor church services exceeding 25% capacity or 200 individuals, *whichever is less* ("Church Gathering Ban").
- 3. Meanwhile, the Governor grants de facto gathering exemptions to 158 broad classifications of secular activity he designates as "essential." These exemptions apply even when the "essential" activity is not constitutionally protected and is not essential to public health and safety, such as cannabis retail, coffee production, breweries, and wineries. These exemptions also apply even when the "essential" activity involves large groups of people gathering in close proximity for extended periods of time, such as airports and airplanes, trains, subways, company cafeterias, "big box" stores, and many office-based businesses.

- 4. Besides activity described as "essential," the Governor grants exemptions and more lenient restrictions to even "non-essential" secular activity. This favorable treatment applies even when such "non-essential" activity is not constitutionally protected, and when that activity involves large groups of people gathering in close proximity for extended periods of time.
- 5. Under Phase 2 of the current reopening plan, a more favorable 50% capacity allowance—with no numerical cap—applies for restaurants and taverns, professional offices, real estate businesses, pet grooming businesses, tattoo parlors, barbershops, and hair or nail salons.
- 6. Also under Phase 2 of the current reopening plan, a more favorable 30% capacity restriction (up to 200 people) applies for conventions, conferences, business meetings, training, testing, and other similar activities held at miscellaneous venues, including indoor convention centers and hotel meeting spaces.
- 7. For over two months, Plaintiffs Christ's Church of Mt.

 Spokane ("Christ's Church") and Westgate Chapel ("Westgate")

 (collectively, "the Churches") were unable to hold indoor worship service

due to the Governor's proclamations prohibiting in-person religious gatherings. The Churches patiently waited for the Governor to restore their First Amendment freedoms, trusting that he would prioritize constitutional rights and allow churches to resume in-person services at the earliest opportunity.

- 8. But that trust was shattered. Rather than prioritize religious freedom, the Governor maligned spiritual gatherings as "COVID-19 'superspreader' events," and imposed uniquely onerous restrictions on churches while granting favorable treatment to a broad range of similar secular activity.
- 9. The Churches operate in counties that have proceeded to Phase 2 of the current reopening plan, yet the Church Gathering Ban restricts the Churches from holding substantive indoor services, even when such services would comply with the same capacity, social distancing, and sanitation standards that the State accepts as sufficient for comparable secular activity.
- 10. Regardless of the justifications the Governor may think he has for this disparate treatment, they cannot survive constitutional scrutiny. Under the Free Exercise Clause, a law is not generally

applicable when it "fail[s] to prohibit nonreligious conduct that endangers" the government's interest "in a similar or greater degree" than the prohibited religious conduct. *Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. City of Hialeah*, 508 U.S. 520, 543 (1993). That is exactly what the exemptions under the Governor's orders do.

- 11. Consistent with their religious beliefs, the Churches plan to resume indoor worship services up to 50% capacity and have developed comprehensive social distancing and health protocols to govern those services. Despite these measures, however, the Governor's Church Gathering Ban threatens the Churches with criminal penalties.
- 12. Without injunctive relief, the Churches will face criminal penalties for exercising their sincerely held religious beliefs by assembling for worship. A temporary restraining order and injunction are necessary to preserve the Churches' constitutional rights.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. This civil rights action raises federal questions under the United States Constitution, specifically the First and Fourteenth Amendments, and under federal law, particularly 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 14. §§ 1331 and 1343.

- 15. This Court has authority to grant the requested declaratory relief under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, the requested injunctive relief under 28 U.S.C. § 1343, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs under 42 U.S.C. § 1988.
- 16. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because all defendants are Washington residents, and because at least one defendant resides in the Eastern District of Washington.
- Venue is also proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 17. 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.

PARTIES

- Christ's Church is a nonprofit church organized exclusively 18. for religious purposes under § 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. Christ's Church is located in Mead, Washington (Spokane County).
- Westgate is a nonprofit church organized exclusively for 19. religious purposes under § 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. Westgate is located in Edmonds, Washington (Snohomish County).

7

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

- 20. Jay Inslee is the Governor of Washington. Governor Inslee is responsible for issuing and enforcing the Church Gathering Ban. He is sued in his official capacity only.
- 21. Robert Ferguson is Washington's Attorney General. Attorney General Ferguson is authorized, both individually and through his subordinates, to enforce and prosecute violations of the Church Gathering Ban. He is sued in his official capacity only.
- 22. Defendant Bret D. Daugherty is Washington's Adjutant General. General Daugherty is authorized, both individually and through his subordinates, to enforce and prosecute violations of the Church Gathering Ban. He is sued in his official capacity only.
- Defendant John Batiste is Chief of the Washington State 23. Patrol. As Chief, Defendant Batiste has the power, both individually and through his subordinates, to enforce the Church Gathering Ban. He is sued in his official capacity only.
- Defendant Ozzie Knezovich is the Sheriff of Spokane 24.County. As Sheriff of Spokane County, Defendant Knezovich has the power, both individually and through his subordinates, to enforce the Church Gathering Ban. He is sued in his official capacity only.

25. Defendant Adam Fortney is the Sheriff of Snohomish County. As Sheriff of Snohomish County, Defendant Fortney has the power, both individually and through his subordinates, to enforce the Church Gathering Ban. He is sued in his official capacity only.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

The Churches

- 26. Founded in 1959, Westgate has been serving its community for over 61 years.
- 27. Westgate is proud of its multicultural community, including members representing at least 62 nations, some of whom—including church leadership—marched in recent protests and demonstrations following the tragic killing of George Floyd. Westgate also contributes to its community by maintaining a food and clothing bank, hosting ministries for people with special needs and those experiencing homelessness, and by hosting a "healing and recovery" group for around 120 people requiring support for issues involving drug abuse, alcoholism, addiction, and other harmful activities.
- 28. Founded in 2001, Christ's Church has been serving its community for over 19 years. Initially founded as Liberty Church of

Christ of Spokane, the church changed its name to Christ's Church of Mt. Spokane in 2010.

- 29. The Churches are autonomous churches with no denominational affiliation.
- 30. The Churches believe that the Bible is the inspired Word of God, fully true and accurate, and thus the infallible rule of faith and practice.
- 31. The Bible is the foundation on which the Churches operate and is the basis on which they are governed.
- 32. The Churches believe, among other things, that the Bible affirms the infinite dignity of every human person made in the image of God, and commands Christians to gather in person for corporate prayer, worship, and fellowship, and that such assembly is necessary and good for the Churches and their members' spiritual growth.
- 33. The Churches believe that the Bible commands Christians to gather in fellowship to hold one another accountable and responsible, to provide the support necessary to overcome challenges and temptations, and to help each other grow spiritually. Indeed, the Churches believe

that the purpose and value of their activities flows out of their meetings as a corporate body for worship.

The Churches' Plans to Resume In-Person Services

- 34. In response to the Governor's orders, Westgate suspended in-person services on or around March 15, 2020, and Christ's Church suspended in-person services on or around March 19, 2020.
- 35. More than two months later, the Governor's Church Gathering Ban prevented the Churches from resuming in-person worship services.
- 36. Christ's Church does not have full-time staff, and thus does not have the resources to record or livestream services.
- 37. The Churches lack the equipment and resources necessary to successfully implement radio broadcasts for drive-in services.
- 38. In addition, some of the Churches' parishioners do not have internet access or the ability to participate in online services.
- 39. The Churches believe they are called to resume in-person worship services, consistent with their religious beliefs about corporate prayer, worship, and fellowship.
 - 40. The Churches sincerely believe that online services and

drive-in services do not satisfy the Bible's requirement that the Churches meet in person for corporate worship.

- 41. The Churches plan to resume in-person worship services, but the Governor's Church Gathering Ban makes such services illegal and subjects the Churches to possible criminal penalties.
- 42. Christ's Church's sanctuary has a capacity of about 110, and before the pandemic it typically hosted around 60 attendees during Sunday worship services. Christ's Church seeks to hold indoor services at 50% of its sanctuary's capacity (about 55 people), and has configured its seating to provide for proper social distancing of at least six feet separation between families and individuals:



43. Westgate has two separate meeting areas, each with its own egress, ingress, and restrooms. These spaces include a sanctuary that seats 1300 people and a fellowship hall that seats 400 people. Westgate seeks to hold indoor services at (or less than) 50% of capacity in these areas (up to 300-400 people in the sanctuary and up to 200 people in the fellowship hall), and has configured its seating to provide for proper social distancing of at least six feet separation between families and individuals:



44. In preparation for resuming in-person worship services, the Churches have adopted—and will follow—strict social distancing and health and safety protocols.

- 45. To ensure proper social distancing, the Churches have assigned seats for each church family and individual, and have provided for at least six feet of separation between each individual or family.
- 46. In addition, the Churches' health and safety protocols include:
 - Directing attendees to one designated entrance;
 - Having greeters open doors for attendees;
 - Requiring all greeters to wear gloves and masks;
 - Advising attendees of proper social distancing protocols;
 - Encouraging attendees to wear face coverings and masks;
 - Providing masks and gloves to those who wish to wear them
 and do not have their own;
 - Making hand sanitizer stations easily accessible to attendees, and encouraging attendees to bring their own hand sanitizer;
 - Providing disinfecting wipes for attendees to wipe down any surfaces;
 - Using prepackaged communion elements whenever served;

- Instructing attendees to refrain from congregating in the building;
- Dismissing attendees by sections to ensure that members maintain social distancing; and
- Thoroughly cleaning and sanitizing sanctuary, hallways,
 bathrooms, and common surfaces before and after Sunday service.

The Governor's Covid-19 Orders

- 47. On February 29, 2020, Governor Inslee declared a state of emergency in response to the Covid-19 outbreak. Proclamation 20-05, attached as **Exhibit 1**.
- 48. Declaring that "a State of Emergency exists in all counties in the state of Washington," the Governor directed "State agencies and departments . . . to utilize state resources and to do everything reasonably possible to assist affected political subdivisions in an effort to respond to and recover from the outbreak." *Id.* at 2.
- 49. The Governor also "order[ed] into active state service the organized militia" to address the Covid-19 outbreak. *Id*.

- 50. On March 16, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-14 prohibiting gatherings of 50 or more people—including religious services—regardless of whether such gatherings complied with social distancing and health protocols. See Proclamation 20-14, attached as **Exhibit 2**, at 2.
- 51. As noted above, the Churches immediately suspended their worship services in response to Proclamation 20-14.

The Stay-Home Order

- 52. On March 23, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-25 ("Stay Home – Stay Healthy") announcing a statewide stay-home order. See Proclamation 20-25, attached as **Exhibit 3**.
- 53. The stay-home order prohibited in-person church services of any size and threatened potential violators with criminal penalties under Wash. Rev. Code § 43.06.220(5), which defines violation of a governor's order as a gross misdemeanor. Ex. 3, § 2, p. 5. Punishment for a gross misdemeanor could include imprisonment for up to one year and a fine in an amount up to \$5,000.00. See Wash. Rev. Code § 9.92.020.

- 54. Specifically, the stay-home order expressly prohibited "faith-based" events, demanding that "[a]ll people in Washington State shall immediately cease participating in all public and private gatherings and multi-person activities for social, spiritual and recreational purposes, regardless of the number of people involved, except as specifically identified here." Ex. 3, § 2.
- 55. In addition, the stay-home order required "all non-essential businesses in Washington State" to "cease operations except for performing basic minimum operations." *Id.*, § 3.
- 56. The stay-home order did not require "essential businesses" to close, but rather "encourage[d]" them to "remain open and maintain operations." *Id*.
- 57. Under the stay-home order, individuals could only leave their home or residence to conduct or participate in (1) "essential activities," or (2) "employment in providing essential business services." *Id.*, § 1.
- 58. The stay-home order defined "essential activities" as obtaining necessary supplies or services, engaging in activities essential

for health and safety, caring for a friend or family member, or engaging in outdoor exercise activities. *Id.*, § 1.a.

- 59. The stay-home order defined "employment in essential business services" as "an essential employee performing work for an essential business as identified in the 'Essential Critical Infrastructure Workers' list." *Id.*, § 1.b.
- 60. The Essential Critical Infrastructure Workers' list, comprising fourteen pages, identifies 158 classifications of workers and businesses spanning thirteen broad sectors of the economy. See Essential Critical Infrastructure Workers' List, attached as **Exhibit 4**.
- 61. Although the stay-home order was first set to expire on April 6, it finally expired on May 31 after the Governor extended it three times. *See* Proclamations 20-25.1, 20-25.2, and 20-25.3, attached as **Exhibits 5, 6, 7**.

The Original Reopening Plan

62. On May 4, 2020, Governor Inslee signed Proclamation 20-25.3, which allowed drive-in worship services but continued to prohibit in-person services of any size. *See* Ex. 7, at 3, 4.

- 63. Proclamation 20-25.3 also introduced and incorporated the State's original reopening plan: "Safe Start Washington: A Phased Approach to Recovery." See Ex. 7, at 3; see also Original Reopening Plan, attached as **Exhibit 8**.
- 64. Under Phase 1 of the original reopening plan, in-person church services of any size remained prohibited. Ex. 8, at 7.
- 65. Under Phase 2 of the original reopening plan, the original reopening plan generally restricted gatherings to "no more than 5 people outside your household per week." *Id*.
- 66. The original reopening plan provided that each phase would last for at least three weeks, but that smaller counties could apply for a variance to proceed through the phases more quickly. *Id.* at 6, 8-9.
- 67. On May 20, 2020, the Spokane Regional Health District submitted a variance application containing statements from local health officials and boards representing that Spokane County could safely advance to Phase 2 because, among other things, the county had relatively low rates of virus transmission and adequate health care resources. *See* Spokane County Variance Application, attached as **Exhibit 9**.

- 68. On May 22, 2020, the Washington State Department of Health granted the application, allowing Spokane County—the county where Christ's Church operates—to advance to Phase 2. See Spokane County Variance, attached as **Exhibit 10**.
- 69. Similarly, on June 1, 2020, the Snohomish Health District submitted a variance application containing statements from local health officials and boards representing that Snohomish County could safely advance to Phase 2 because, among other things, the county had relatively low rates of virus transmission and adequate health care resources. *See* Snohomish County Variance Application, attached as **Exhibit 11**.
- 70. On June 5, 2020, the Washington State Department of Health granted the application, allowing Snohomish County—the county where Westgate operates—to advance to Phase 2. See Snohomish County Variance, attached as **Exhibit 12**.

The Church Gathering Ban

71. On May 27, 2020, Governor Inslee issued guidance regarding religious activity during Phases 1 and 2. See Initial Religious Guidance, attached as **Exhibit 13**.

- 72. The Governor characterizes spiritual gatherings as "COVID-19 'superspreader' events" in the first sentence of his initial religious guidance. Consistent with that characterization, he suggests that worship services be held remotely or as drive-in services. *Id.* at 1.
- 73. On August 10, 2020, Governor Inslee issued revised guidance regarding religious activity during Phases 1, 2, and 3. Revised Religious Guidance, attached as **Exhibit 14**. The guidance provides that, under Phase 2, indoor services are now limited to "25% capacity or 200 individuals, whichever is less." *Id*.
- 74. Again, on October 21, 2020, Governor Inslee issued revised guidance for religious activity during Phases 1, 2, and 3. Second Revised Religious Guidance, attached as **Exhibit 15**.
- 75. The current religious guidance provides that, under Phase 2, indoor services are limited to 25% capacity or 200 individuals, whichever is less. *Id.* at 1.
- 76. The guidance also provides that, under Phase 2, additional attendees are permitted to attend simultaneous services if the [church] has . . . separate rooms," provided that such rooms have their "own outdoor entrance/exit, and bathrooms for all participants". *Id*.

The Current Reopening Plan

- 77. On July 24, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-25.7, which announced and incorporated a new county-by-county reopening plan: "Safe Start Washington: Phased Reopening County-By-County." See Proclamation 20-25.7, attached as **Exhibit 16**, at 3.
- 78. Proclamation 20-25.7 extends the prohibitions in Proclamations 20-25, 20-25.1, 20-25.2, and 20-25.3 until "the state of emergency. . .is rescinded or until this order is amended or rescinded." Ex. 16, at 6.
- 79. With respect to religious services, Proclamation 20-25.7 provides that the Governor's guidance "may be updated or modified as the science and data support." *Id.* at 4.
- 80. Thus, the Church Gathering Ban remains in effect under the current reopening plan. See id.
- 81. On October 7, 2020, Governor Inslee updated the reopening plan: "Safe Start Washington: Phased Reopening County-By-County."

 See Current Reopening Plan, attached as Exhibit 17.
- 82. The current reopening plan restricts various activities during each of the four reopening phases and provides all counties—

regardless of size—an opportunity to apply for a variance to proceed to later phases. See Ex. 17, at 2.

- 83. In Phase 1 of the current reopening plan, businesses and operations designated as "essential" are "open" and permitted to operate subject only to industry-specific guidance. *See id.* at 9, 12.
- 84. The current reopening plan creates a new, intermediate phase called "Modified Phase 1." *Id.* at 9.
- 85. Counties that are not ready to proceed from Phase 1 to Phase 2 may still apply for unique Modified Phase 1 restrictions tailored to their circumstances. *Id*.
- 86. Under Modified Phase 1, the current reopening plan recommends a 25% capacity restriction for professional offices, real estate operations, pet grooming businesses, and personal services such as tattoo parlors, barber shops, hair salons, and nail salons. See Ex. 17, at 10.
- 87. Subject to industry-specific guidance, "non-essential" operations may resume in Phase 2 counties for restaurants and taverns, office-based businesses, manufacturing facilities, retail stores, movie

theatres, real estate businesses, and personal services such as tattoo parlors, barbershops, hair salons, and nail salons. Ex. 17, at 12.

Secular Exceptions to the Gathering Bans

- 88. As noted, there are many secular exceptions to the Governor's gathering restrictions, including "essential" activities, "non-essential" activities, and protest gatherings.
- 89. The Governor's gathering restrictions do not apply to a wide range of businesses and activities identified in the State's fourteenpage-long "Essential Workers" list, including:
 - Cannabis retailers;
 - Brewery and wine-making facilities;
 - Coffee-production facilities;
 - Company cafeterias;
 - "Big box stores" that also sell groceries;
 - Union operations;
 - Airports;
 - Subways and metros; and
 - Radio, television, newspaper, and media operations.

See Ex. 4, at 1-12.

- 90. Even in counties under Modified Phase 1, the current reopening plan recommends a 25% capacity restriction with no numerical cap for professional offices, real estate operations, pet grooming businesses, and personal services such as tattoo parlors, barber shops, hair salons, and nail salons. See Ex. 17, at 10.
- 91. Under Phase 2, even "non-essential" operations are exempted from the gathering restrictions or granted more lenient treatment than houses of worship, even if they involve large groups of people gathering in close proximity for extended periods of time. See Ex. 17, at 12.
- 92. Under Phase 2, subject to industry-specific guidance, operations may resume for restaurants and taverns, office-based businesses, manufacturing facilities, retail stores, movie theaters, real estate businesses, pet grooming businesses, and personal services including tattoo parlors, barbershops, and hair or nail salons. *See* Ex. 17, at 12.
- 93. Manufacturing facilities in Phase 2 counties are permitted to resume operations with no capacity or numerical restrictions, subject only to social distancing and hygiene protocols. *See* Ex. 17, at 12; *see*

also Industry-Specific Guidance for Manufacturing Facilities, attachedas Exhibit 18.

- 94. Restaurants and taverns in Phase 2 counties may resume indoor dining consistent with social distancing and hygiene requirements and may reach 50% of capacity with no numerical cap, so long as there are only 6 people per table (who may be from different households) and no bar seating. See Ex. 17 at 12; see also Industry-Specific Guidance for Restaurants and Taverns, attached as **Exhibit** 19, at 1.
- 95. Professional offices in Phase 2 counties may resume operations consistent with social distancing and hygiene requirements, and may reach 50% capacity with no numerical cap. See Ex. 17, at 12; see also Industry-Specific Guidance for Professional Offices, attached as Exhibit 20, at 3.
- 96. In Phase 2 counties, businesses offering personal services—including tattoo parlors, barbershops, and hair or nail salons—may reach 50% capacity with no numerical restriction. See Ex. 17, at 12; see also Industry-Specific Guidance for Personal Services, attached as Exhibit 21, at 2-3.

- 97. In Phase 2 counties, movie theaters may reach 25% capacity with no numerical restriction. See Ex. 17, at12; see also Industry-Specific Guidance for Movie Theaters, attached as **Exhibit 22**, at 1.
- 98. In Phase 2 counties, large gatherings are permitted at miscellaneous venues for conventions, conferences, business meetings, training, and testing, provided that they do not exceed 30% capacity or 200 people. Miscellaneous Venue Guidance, attached as **Exhibit 23**.
- 99. The Governor has allowed restaurants and taverns, manufacturing facilities, office-based businesses, retail establishments, movie theaters, tattoo parlors, nail care salons, hair salons, and barber shops to reopen with no flat numerical restriction. In contrast, the Churches and other houses of worship are limited to 200 people regardless of their physical capacity.
- 100. The State has also granted de facto gathering exemptions for protest gatherings. Following the tragic killing of George Floyd on May 25, 2020, thousands of Washingtonians gathered for protests, demonstrations, and vigils. The Seattle Times described these protests as "seas" of people "gathering" to "listen to speeches," with photos

showing protestors failing to comply with the State's gathering limits and social distancing protocols¹:



101. The Washington State Department of Health acknowledged that the protest gatherings posed public health risks but encouraged the gatherings anyway. The Department of Health published a blog

¹ See Seattle Times Staff, Seattle-area protests: Police declare a riot as demonstrators gather for fourth day to call for police accountability, The SEATTLE TIMES (updated June 3, 2020),

https://www.seattletimes.com/seattle-news/george-floyd-protests-continue-in-seattle-area-demonstrators-expected-to-gather-for-fourth-day-to-call-for-racial-justice/.

_0

post entitled "Risking your health to fight racism (Thank you!)," which stated, "If you were one of many people in communities across our state who responded to this violent act with outrage, frustration, and peaceful protest, thank you!"²

102. Governor Inslee also expressed full support of the protest gatherings. On May 31, 2020, Governor Inslee acknowledged that "[t]housands were protesting peacefully," and "I want to thank the protesters who carried a peaceful and important message." May 31, 2020 Inslee Statement, attached as **Exhibit 24**. In another statement, Governor Inslee affirmed that "I fully support the right to free speech and peaceful assembly," and "[a]s people gather today to protest the unjust death of George Floyd, I hope they do so peacefully and safely." May 30, 2020 Inslee Statement, attached as **Exhibit 25**.

103. During a press conference on June 1, 2020, Governor Inslee encouraged protesters "to be safe for themselves and the people around

² Risking your health to fight racism (Thank you!), *Medium* (Jun. 2, 2020), https://medium.com/wadepthealth/risking-your-health-to-fight-racism-thank-you-7a528a692f81.

them," expressing his mere "hope" that protestors might wear a mask and "distance $as\ much\ as\ you\ can.$ "

104. In a press conference on June 4, 2020, Governor Inslee stated that, for protesters, "there are some First Amendment rights that we have respected even though we do understand there have been some increased risks in any large gathering and we have respected people's rights in that regard "4

105. In a press conference on June 8, 2020, Governor Inslee acknowledged that supporting protest gatherings while prohibiting other gatherings does "appear to be contradictory," but that "thousands of people have made a decision that the virus of racism is important

17

18

19

20

13

14

15

16

³ Governor Jay Inslee, Address Regarding Demonstrations, https://www.pscp.tv/w/1OyJAYjMnBgJb (June 1, 2020) (emphasis

2122

added).

⁴ Governor Jay Inslee, Press Conference on Covid-19 (June 4, 2020),

2425

23

 $\underline{https://www.tvw.org/watch/?clientID=9375922947\&eventID=202006105}$

 $26 \, || \, \frac{3}{2} \, ||$

 $26 \parallel \underline{5}$

enough to fight back by peaceful protests and we have encouraged them to do so in the most distanced manner as possible"⁵

106. Meanwhile, the Churches—who also have First Amendment rights—would be subject to criminal penalties if they made an identical decision that the virus of sin (including racism) is important enough to fight back by peacefully gathering for religious services. Indeed, even outdoor church services are limited to 200 people.

107. Thus, the Churches may not hold their planned indoor services without subjecting themselves to criminal penalties, even though they would adhere to strict social distancing and hygiene protocols and would not exceed 50% of their respective capacities. As applied to Westgate, the Church Gathering Ban restricts their services to 11.7% capacity (200 people for a church with 1700 capacity).

108. The Governor's Church Gathering Ban has harmed the Churches' ministries by suspending weddings, baptisms, services for

⁵ Governor Jay Inslee, Press Conference on Covid-19 (June 8, 2020), https://www.tvw.org/watch/?clientID=9375922947&eventID=202006112

vulnerable populations, membership vows, support for youth, and church leadership meetings.

109. There are many considerations that render outdoor services impracticable for the Churches. For example, inclement weather could significantly reduce attendance or impede outdoor services altogether. The Churches lack the equipment necessary to successfully broadcast a drive-in service. Noise from street traffic could disturb outdoor services. Attendees with reduced mobility, including attendees who use wheelchairs or walkers, will have trouble safely traversing grassy areas where an outdoor service could occur.

Defendants' Credible Threats and Actions to enforce the COVID- 19 executive Orders and Guidance

- 110. Plaintiffs have a reasonable fear of violating the Church Gathering Ban because Defendants have issued credible threats of enforcement and have taken action against others for violating the orders.
- 111. On May 19, 2020, Attorney General Ferguson sued a business owner for violating the Stay Home Stay Healthy

proclamation.6

 $26 \parallel 02973$

LEGAL ALLEGATIONS

- 112. At all times relevant to this Complaint, each and all the acts and policies alleged here were attributable to the Defendants who acted and are acting under color of a statute, regulation, custom, or usage of the State of Washington.
- 113. The Churches have no adequate or speedy remedy at law to correct or redress the deprivation of their rights by Defendants.
- 114. Defendants' actions and policies do not serve any legitimate or compelling state interest and are not narrowly tailored to serve any such interests.
- 115. Defendants have deprived, and continue to deprive, the Churches of their clearly established rights under the United States Constitution, as pleaded below.
- 116. Without declaratory and injunctive relief, the Churches' religious exercise will continue to be chilled and the Churches will

⁶ State v. Power Alley Fitness, Inc., Sno. Cty. Sup Ct. Cause No. 20-2-02973-31.

continue to suffer violations of their constitutional rights and irreparable harm.

COUNT I

Violation of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution (Free Exercise)

- 117. The Churches incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 116.
- 118. The Churches' sincerely held religious beliefs teach that the Bible is the inspired word of God and the sole authority for faith and practice.
- 119. The Churches sincerely believe that the Bible teaches the necessity of gathering together for corporate prayer, worship, and fellowship and that such assembly is necessary and good for the Churches and their members' spiritual growth.
- 120. The Governor's Church Gathering Ban substantially burdens the Churches' religion by prohibiting them from holding inperson church services exceeding the lesser of 200 people or 25% of the Churches' respective capacities.

- 121. The Governor's Church Gathering Ban interferes with the Churches' religious autonomy and ability to carry out its religious doctrine, faith, and mission.
- 122. The Governor's Church Gathering Ban targets, discriminates against, and shows hostility towards houses of worship, including the Churches.
- 123. The Governor's Church Gathering Ban is neither neutral nor generally applicable because it is riddled with exceptions and is based on a system of individualized assessments.
- 124. Defendants do not have a compelling reason for prohibiting the Churches' indoor church services when attendees can practice adequate social distancing, especially when compared to the many secular activities exempted under the Governor's orders.
- 125. Defendants have not selected the least restrictive means to further any purported interest.
- 126. The Church Gathering Ban violates the Free Exercise
 Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, both facially and as applied.

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

127. Without declaratory and injunctive relief, the Churches will be irreparably harmed.

COUNT II

Violation of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution (Right to Assemble)

- 128. The Churches incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 116.
- 129. The First Amendment prohibits Defendants from violating the Churches' right to peaceably assemble.
- 130. The Governor's Church Gathering Ban violates the Churches' right to peaceably assemble because the ban on in-person services does not serve any legitimate, rational, substantial, or compelling governmental interest, especially when viewed in light of the many secular activities exempted under the Governor's orders.
- 131. Defendants have alternative, less restrictive means to achieve any interest that they might have.
- 132. The Church Gathering Ban violates the right to assemble under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, both facially and as applied.

133. Without declaratory and injunctive relief, the Churches will be irreparably harmed.

COUNT III

Violation of the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution (Free Speech)

- 134. The Churches incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 116.
- 135. The Governor's Church Gathering Ban violates the Churches' freedom of speech by prohibiting them from engaging in religious speech through their church services, which occur exclusively on private property.
- 136. The Governor's Church Gathering Ban specifically targets meetings of up to 200 people or 25% of capacity held for the purpose of religious expression, while permitting meetings of the same or greater size for secular purposes.
- 137. The Governor's Church Gathering Ban is thus content and viewpoint-based in violation of the First Amendment.
- 138. The Governor's Church Gathering Ban gives governmental officials unbridled discretion over enforcement of the order and the

imposition of any penalty, making the order susceptible to both content and viewpoint-based discrimination.

- 139. Prohibiting or punishing the Churches' religious speech does not serve any legitimate, rational, substantial, or compelling governmental interest.
- 140. The State also has alternative, less restrictive means to achieve any interest that it might have.
- 141. The Church Gathering Ban violates the Free Speech Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, both facially and as applied.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Churches respectfully request that the Court:

a. Enter a temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and permanent injunction prohibiting Defendants from enforcing those portions of the Governor's orders that limit indoor church services to 200 or fewer persons or 25% of capacity during Phase 2 of the Governor's reopening plan, and that limit in-person church services to 400 or fewer persons of 50% capacity during Phase 3 of the Governor's reopening plan, thereby allowing the Churches and their congregants to

resume corporate prayer and worship while following adequate social distancing and public health guidelines.

- b. Enter a judgment declaring that those portions of the Governor's orders that limit in-person church services to 200 or fewer persons or 25% capacity during Phase 2 of the Governor's reopening plan, and that limit in-person church services to 400 or fewer persons or 50% capacity during Phase 3 of the Governor's reopening plan, violate the U.S. Constitution's Free Exercise, Right to Assemble, and Free Speech Clauses, both facially and as-applied;
- c. Award the Churches nominal damages for Defendant's violation of the Churches' constitutional rights;
- d. Award the Churches court costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and
- e. Award such other and further relief as to which the Churches may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted this 30th day of October 2020.

s/ Ryan J. Tucker

Kristen K. Waggoner, WA Bar 27790 Ryan J. Tucker, AZ Bar 034382* Jeremiah Galus, AZ Bar 030469* ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM 15100 N. 90th Street Scottsdale, AZ 85260 Telephone: (480) 444-0020 kwaggoner@adflegal.org rtucker@adflegal.org jgalus@adflegal.org

David A. Cortman, GA Bar 188810*
ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM
1000 Hurricane Shoals Rd. NE
Ste. D-1100
Lawrenceville, GA 30043
Telephone: (770) 339-0774
dcortman@adflegal.org

*Admitted pro hac vice

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing Verified Complaint has been examined by me and that the factual allegations therein are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. Dated: October 30, 2020

Pastor

Christ's Church of Mt. Spokane

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing Verified Complaint has been examined by me and that the factual allegations therein are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Dated: October 30, 2020

Pastor

Westgate Chapel

SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED COMPLAINT - 1

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on the 30th day of October, 2020, the foregoing was filed with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF system, which in turn automatically generated a Notice of Electronic Filing to all parties in the case who are registered users of the CM/ECF system.

s/Ryan J. Tucker Ryan J. Tucker, AZ Bar 034382* ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM 15100 N. 90th Street Scottsdale, AZ 85260 Telephone: (480) 444-0020 rtucker@adflegal.org